

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			<p>CRJA No.153 of 2023 <u>Hon'ble Vivek Bharti Sharma, J.</u></p> <p>Mrs. Neelima Mishra, Advocate for the appellant/convict.</p> <p>2. Mr. V.K. Jemini, Deputy A.G. with Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Brief Holder for the State.</p> <p>3. This criminal appeal is preferred against the judgment and order dated 28.03.2022 passed by Special Judge (POCSO)/Additional Sessions Judge, Dehradun in Special Sessions Trial No.94 of 2019, whereby the appellant/convict has been convicted under Section 354A of IPC and Section 9(m)/10 of POCSO Act and has been sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for a period of six years with a fine of ₹ 20,000/- u/s 9(m)/10 of POCSO Act.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 531 days in filing the present appeal for which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the appellant/convict would submit that the appellant/convict was taken into custody on 28.03.2023; that, he did not have any paikar or sufficient means to file the instant appeal; that, the appellant/convict approached the High Court Legal Service Committee to provide legal aid through Jail authorities and it is thereafter that the present appeal could be filed.</p> <p>6. In the opinion of the Court, cause shown is found sufficient so as to condone the delay. Delay is, therefore, condoned. Delay</p>

Condonation Application is allowed.

7. Admit the appeal.
8. Summon the lower court record.
9. State Counsel is granted four weeks time to file reply/objections to the bail application.
10. List on 22.04.2024 for hearing on the bail application.

(Vivek Bharti Sharma, J.)
21.11.2023

Rajni