

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
	15.04.2026		<p>WPSB No. 484 of 2025  Vinay Sharma  --Petitioner  Versus  Ajay Kumar Uphadhya  --Respondent  <b><u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Gupta, C.J.</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ms. Priyanka Agarwal, learned counsel for the petitioner.</li> <li>2. Mr. Digvijay Nath Dubey &amp; Mr. Jagdish Singh Bisht, learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 &amp; 4.</li> <li>3. Mr. Saurav Adhikari, learned Standing Counsel for the Union of India/ respondent No. 5.</li> <li>4. One of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the order of dismissal has been passed by the Chief Administrative Officer, who is much below the Disciplinary Authority, who, according to the petitioner, is the Director.</li> <li>5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the Department submits that the post of Senior Administrative Officer is a post falling under Group-B, and whose Disciplinary Authority is Chief Administrative Officer, and the Appellate Authority is the Director. He seeks time to demonstrate, how the post of Senior Administrative Officer is a Group-B post.</li> <li>6. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned for a week.</li> <li>7. List immediately thereafter.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Subhash Upadhyay, J.)</b>                      <b>(Manoj Kumar Gupta, C.J.)</b>  15.04.2026    15.04.2026  Rahul </p>