

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			<p>SA No.143 of 2019</p> <p><u>Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Harshit Sanwal, Advocate for the appellant.</p> <p>Mr. Parth Tamta, Advocate holding brief of Mr. Bhuwan Bhatt, Advocate for respondent no.3.</p> <p>IA No.15967 of 2024 has been filed on behalf of the appellant praying that appropriate order be passed regarding completion of service against the respondents except respondent nos.4, 8/3 and 11. In the affidavit, it has been stated that in pursuance to the order dated 19.01.2020, appropriate steps were taken for sufficient service upon the respondents and necessary process was filed before the Registry. In pursuance thereto, on 14.01.2020, notices were issued to respondent nos.1 to 11 except respondent no.3. Pursuant thereto service report was prepared on 14.05.2020, as per which, the status of the service upon respondents, respondents is as under: -</p> <p>"Respondent no.1- notice served through daughter; respondent no.2-served personally; respondent nos.4 & 5 served through brother; respondent no.6-served personally; respondent no.7/1-served through bother-in-law (Jyestha); respondent no.7/2-served through uncle (Chachaji); respondent no.7/3-served through uncle(Chachaji); respondent no.7/4-served through uncle(Chachaji); respondent no.7/5-served through uncle(Chachaji); respondent no.8/1-</p>

served personally; respondent no.8/2-served through mother; respondent no.8/3-unserved notice received back with a report that respondent no.8/3 has been died; respondent no.9-served through wife; respondent no.10-served personally; respondent no.11-notice received back without any remark of Nazir, Ranikhet."

The appellant had also taken steps to serve the respondent in the year 2023 and in paras 9 and 10 of the affidavit in support of the said application, tables have been drawn and the learned counsel for the appellant has made a submission that all the respondents except respondent nos.4, 8/3 and respondent no.11 have been duly served and appropriate orders be passed regarding completion of service against them.

In view of the service report and the averments made in the affidavit in support of the said application, the service upon respondent nos.1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7/1, 7/2, 7/3, 7/4, 7/5, 8/1, 8/2, 9 and 10 is deemed to be sufficient and the IA No.15967 of 2024 is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to examine the correctness of the substitution application, so filed by him, let him do so.

List this case on 30.04.2026.

(Siddhartha Sah, J.)

15.04.2026

Ravi