

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			<p>SPA No.331 of 2022</p> <p><u>Shri Vipin Sanghi, C.J.</u> <u>Shri Ramesh Chandra Khulbe, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. C.D. Bahuguna, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Ravindra S. Rawat, learned counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>Issue notice.</p> <p>Mr. K.N. Joshi, learned Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondent nos. 1 to 4, appears and accepts notice.</p> <p>Mr. Parikshit Saini, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5 & 6, appears and accepts notice.</p> <p>The submission of the appellant is that though initially fixed cut-off date was 31.12.2010, in respect of P.T.A. Teachers appointed by the schools, who were granted honorarium of ₹7,000/- per month. Subsequently, the Department considered the cut-off date as 18.10.2011 and, thereby, included scores of other teachers, who were appointed subsequent to 01.01.2011 and upto 18.10.2022 for the purpose of grant of said honorarium.</p> <p>The case of the appellant is that several P.T.A. Teachers, who were appointed even after the appellant's appointment on 26.07.2011, were</p>

included in the list of P.T.A. Teachers, who were granted the honorarium of ₹7,000/- per month.

We direct the respondents to file their respective counter affidavits.

The State in its affidavit should explain as to how teachers, appointed after 01.01.2011, were granted honorarium and the appellant was left out?

The respondent nos. 5 & 6 should explain their letter dated 26.03.2019, filed as annexure-22 to the present appeal.

The counter affidavits be filed within six weeks.

Rejoinder affidavit, if any, be filed before the next date.

List this matter on 06.03.2023.

(R.C. Khulbe, J.) (Vipin Sanghi, C.J.)

29.09.2022

AK