

# HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

## Writ Petition (S/S) No. 1345 of 2025

Sri Ajeet Rawat and another .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others ....Respondents

Present:

Mr. Gaurav Kandpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.S. Pundir, D.A.G. for the State/respondent no.1.

Mr. Ashish Joshi, Advocate for the respondent.

### Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J.(Oral)

Heard.

2. Admit.

3. Learned C.S.C. takes notice on behalf of the respondent no.1.

4. Mr. Ashish Joshi, Advocate takes notice for the respondent no.2.

5. Respondent may file counter affidavit within four weeks. Two weeks thereafter, rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed.

6. List on 13.10.2025 alongwith WPSS No. 1342 of 2025.

### Heard on Interim Relief Application No. 1 of 2025

7. It is the case of the petitioners that they responded to an advertisement issued by the respondent on 07.02.2024 for various posts. They qualified the written examination, but after

documents verification, their candidatures were rejected on the ground that they have not produced, the certificate, as required, with regard to the computer knowledge.

8. By means of interim relief application, the petitioners claim that their names be considered for the final list preparation of the post to which they applied.

9. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have successfully completed Advance Computer Course. The petitioners are eligible for the post. He submits that in a similarly situated case, in Writ Petition (S/S) No. 1165 of 2020, Pooja Chamoli Vs. Uttarakhand Public Service Commission and others, this Court has considered the diploma certificate given by the APTECH Computer Education ADSE (Shikhar Project). It is submitted that the recognition of the petitioners' certificate is not in doubt, but for wrong reasons the certificate has been denied.

10. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that principles of law, as laid down in the case of Pooja Chamoli (*supra*) does not apply in the instant case because the petitioners do not possess requisite computer eligibility.

11. Having considered, as an interim measure, till the next date of listing, the petitioners shall be permitted to

participate in the process. However, their result shall not be declared without prior permission of the Court.

12. Interim relief application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)  
14.08.2025

Jitendra