



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p data-bbox="691 376 970 405"><u>WPSS/1880/2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="691 414 1353 454"><u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.</u></p> <p data-bbox="691 504 1485 584">Mr. D.K. Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.</p> <p data-bbox="691 624 1485 752">2. Ms. Mamta Bisht, Deputy Advocate General for the State of Uttarakhand/ respondent nos. 1 to 5.</p> <p data-bbox="691 792 1485 873">3. Mr. Shivanand Bhatt, Advocate for respondent no. 6.</p> <p data-bbox="691 913 1485 1491">4. Petitioner has challenged selection of Km. Hema Arya (respondent no. 6) on the post of Anganwadi Karyakatri, on the ground that respondent no. 6 does not belong to a family, which is below poverty line. It is contended that a BPL Certificate was issued in the name of grandfather of Km. Hema Arya (Mr. Dhani Ram) more than ten years ago, and based on that certificate, Km. Hema Arya was selected, even though her grandfather died in the year 2007.</p> <p data-bbox="691 1532 1485 1749">5. Learned State Counsel, however, submits that a inquiry regarding income of Km. Hema Arya was ordered by Block Development Officer through Village Panchayat Officer, in the year 2019.</p> <p data-bbox="691 1789 1485 2094">6. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the inquiry regarding income of her family is to be made by the revenue authorities and the Village Development Officer is not competent for the purpose. He submits that without ascertaining the income status of</p>



			<p>respondent no. 6, she could not have been selected to a post on which preference is to be given to persons belonging to below poverty line.</p> <p>7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing Sub Divisional Magistrate, Bhikiyasain, District Almora to have the income of Km. Hema Arya (respondent no. 6) inquired by any subordinate revenue official and based on the report of such inquiry, Sub Divisional Magistrate concerned shall make appropriate advise to the District Programme Officer concerned regarding eligibility of respondent no. 6. This entire exercise shall be concluded within ten weeks from today, but only after providing opportunity of hearing to respondent no. 6.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.) 22.05.2026</p> <p>Navin</p>
--	--	--	--