

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
	13.08.2025		<p>WPMB No. 563 of 2025 with WPMB No. 474 of 2025 <b><u>Hon'ble G. Narendar, C.J.</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mr. Navneet Kaushik, learned counsel for the petitioners in both the cases.</li> <li>2. Ms. Rajni Supyal, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.</li> <li>3. Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned CGSC for the Union of India.</li> <li>4. Mr. Ramji Srivastava, learned counsel for the National Commission for Indian System of Medicine.</li> <li>5. Mr. Sandeep Kothari, learned counsel for Dev Bhoomi Medical College of Ayurveda &amp; Hospital.</li> <li>6. Learned counsel for respondent no. 5-University was directed to secure instructions, regarding the correctness of the action of the University in denying publication of results and issuance of mark-sheets. Today, the learned Standing Counsel for the University would submit that he has been instructed by the University to state that, pending final decision by the State Government, the University would be publishing the results only.</li> <li>7. The piecemeal approach by the University is yet again no surprise to this Court. The University has been playing along with the Management and the Colleges, who have thrown all caution to the winds, and despite an earlier order imposing penalty of 50 lakhs and regularizing admissions, the University has</li> </ol>

turned a blind eye to the continued transgressions by the Management, who have admitted students, and whose admissions we do not know, as to whether they are sanctioned by the University, or by the Competent Authority or by the State Government, and such students have been permitted to take up examinations on an yearly basis, and every time the students would approach this Court, and this Court would direct the publication of results and issuance of mark-sheets.

8. The above narration would, *prima facie*, demonstrate that the University is probably hand in glove and in all probability, the management must be acting in connivance with certain officials of the University, making a mockery of the University's Acts, Statutes and Rules. This charade is probably being played over and over again just to gain a stamp of legality to the nefarious activities of the vested interests. It is apparent that the students have become a pawn in the hands of these vested interests.

9. Be that as it may, the fact remains that the students have taken up the examinations conducted by the University, and today the approach of the University, in our *prima facie* opinion, is condemnable. Having conducted the exams on the one hand, trying to withhold the results on the other hand, the University is trying to play the character of Jekyll and Hyde, which, in our opinion, is not an action that can be called as a fair one. Having knowingly conducted the exams, and the students having prepared and worked hard, and having successfully completed the exams, a right should be inferred in favour of the students to have the results and fruits of such efforts given to them.

10. In that view, the University shall publish the results and also issue the marks-card, as some of the students have even cleared the NEET PG Examination for the MD Course. In that view, the results shall be published within a week, and the marks-card shall be issued within a week thereafter.

11. This issue of the students being forced to take examination, and then being compelled to approach the Courts, is something this Court does not appreciate. The issue of illegal admissions, and managements and colleges making illegal money is a larger issue that requires to be addressed.

12. Hence the instant Writ Petition is directed to be converted into and registered as a PIL.

13. Registry shall take action accordingly.

14. List these cases on 08.09.2025.

**(Alok Mahra, J.)**  
**13.08.2025**

**(G. Narendar, C.J.)**  
**13.08.2025**

Rahul