

WPMS No. 2042 of 2019

With

WPMS Nos. 2032 of 2019, 2214 of 2019, 2217 of 2019, 2218 of 2019, 2454 of 2019, 2456 of 2019, 2522 of 2019, 2524 of 2019, 2666 of 2019, 2689 of 2019, 2691 of 2019, 2692 of 2019 and 2704 of 2019

**Hon'ble Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.**

(via Video Conferencing)

Mr. V. B. S. Negi, Senior Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Navneet Kaushik, Advocate for the respondent in WPMS Nos. 2042 of 2019, 2032 of 2019, 2214 of 2019 and 2217 of 2019.

These are bunch of 14 Writ Petitions arising out of an award passed by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal in various ID cases. In Writ Petition Nos.2042 of 2019, 2032 of 2019, 2214 of 2019 and 2217 of 2019, Mr. Navneet Kaushik, Advocate has put in appearance for the respondent and has filed the counter affidavit.

In Writ Petition No.2218 of 2019, onwards though, the name of Mr. Navneet Kaushik is reflected in the order-sheet, but, the *vakalatnama* has not yet been filed by him till date. He would ensure to file the same within the period of three weeks from today. As far as, the impleadment applications preferred by the petitioner are concerned as they are not seriously opposed, they would stand allowed. The petitioner is directed to carry out the necessary impleadment in the cause title of the respective writ petitions impleading therein, the Regional Labour

Commissioner (Central); as one of the party respondent to the Writ Petition.

Accordingly, all the Impleadment Application Nos.11272/2019, 11273/2019, 11271/2019, 11270/2019, 11269/2019, 12411 of 2019 and 12413 of 2019, as preferred in each of the respective Writ Petitions would stand allowed.

The petitioner submits that the award which is under challenge in the present Writ Petition, the respondent “workmen” are rather pursuing the enforcement of the same before the Labour Court and hence, he apprehends that in case, if the award is enforced, that may prejudice the rights of the petitioner because he contends that the “workmen” would not be entitled for being granted the status of a regularised employee, as has been as directed by the impugned award.

In such an eventuality, the respondent counsel undertakes that for a period of one month they would not enforce their application for executing the impugned award before the Labour Court.

Put up these Writ Petition immediately, after one month.

**(Sharad Kumar Sharma,J.)**

Pant/

02.11.2020