

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>UKHC010106512026</u> <u>C528 No.1361 of 2026</u> Gurdeep Singh & Another --Applicants Versus State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent <u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u> 1. Mr. Harshpal Sekhon, learned counsel for the applicants. 2. Mr. Himanshu Sain, learned AGA for the State. 3. Instant application has been preferred under Section 528 of B.N.S.S., 2023 by the applicants-Gurdeep Singh, S/o Late Kartar Singh and Lakhvinder Kaur, wife of Gurdeep Singh for quashing of proceedings of Criminal Case No.943 of 2025 titled as State vs. Dalvinder Singh & others wherein they have been summoned pursuant to the cognizance order dated 10.12.2025 whereby learned Civil Judge (Sr. Div.)/Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khatima, District Udham Singh Nagar summoned both of them to face the trial for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 498-A, 504 and 506 of IPC. 4. It is argued by learned counsel for the applicants that in fact there was some matrimonial dispute of respondent no.2 with her husband, the son of the applicants and they were married in 2014; now the son left to abroad in 2022 and his behavior with the

		applicants was not good. Consequently, on 12.05.2020 both the applicants disowned him from the properties and proper publication was also made in daily newspaper Dainik Jagran on 02.04.2023. He submits that FIR was lodged on 28.01.2025 bearing FIR No.32 of 2025 wherein both the applicants alongwith their son, daughter and daughter's husband for the offences punishable under Section 323, 498-A, 504 and 506 of IPC have been implicated wherein the charge sheet was filed only against both the applicants as well as son, who at present is in abroad. He submits that respondent/complainant was fully aware that her husband left abroad in 2022 and both the applicants, who are in-laws of respondent no.2 already disowned their son on 12.05.2020 despite this she implicate them. He submits that both the applicants are innocent and now are senior citizens and facing the trial. 5. All these aspects are required to be scrutinized but the fact remains that respondent no.2-complainant is daughter-in-law of the applicants and admittedly her husband also left to abroad. In such an eventuality, this Court is of the view that let the applicants may settle their dispute and for that purpose on the next date of listing both the applicants as well as respondent no.2/complainant are called upon to be present in Court. 7. Issue notice to respondent no.2 returnable at an early date. 8. Steps to be taken within a week through all modes so that on the next date, respondent no.2 shall ensure her presence. 9. Put up this matter on 20.07.2026. On that day both the applicants as well as
--	--	---

			respondent no.2 shall remain present in Court. 10. In the meantime, for an interim measure further proceedings of Criminal Case No.943 of 2025 State vs. Dalvinder Singh & Others shall remain stayed qua the applicants. 11. The trial court is free to proceed against the another, who in fact is husband of respondent/complainant. (Rakesh Thapliyal, J.) 18.06.2026 Arti
--	--	--	---