



2026:UHC:4780

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Civil Contempt No. 343 of 2026

15 June, 2026

Kushal Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

Satyajit Mohanty and Others

--Respondents

Presence:-

Mr. Shubhang Dobhal, learned counsel for petitioner.

Mr. Lalit Sharma, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India with Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned C.G.S.C. for the Union of India/respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Pankaj Chaturvedi, learned C.G.S.C. for the Union of India/respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J. (Oral)

The contempt petitioner alleges that the respondents have committed contempt of this Court's order dated 21.04.2026, passed in WPSS No.768 of 2023 *Kushal Singh Vs. Union of India and others*, whereby the order impugned in the writ petition dated 08.02.2023, passed by Joint Director D(HMI) was set aside and the Joint Director was asked to revisit the issue and pass appropriate order, as per law, within eight weeks from the date of presentation of certified copy of this order dated 21.04.2026.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that instead of passing a fresh order, as per law, the respondent-contemnor no.1 though revisited the issue, involved in the present writ petition, but reiterated the order dated 08.02.2023 valid.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for petitioner that when the order dated 08.02.2023 was already set aside by this Court, how the same can remain valid.



2026:UHC:4780

4. Instead of reiterating the same order and terming it as valid the respondent-contemnor should have passed a fresh order after reconsideration of the matter.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the Competent Authority shall pass a fresh order.

6. In such view of the matter, contempt petition stands disposed of. It is provided that Competent Authority shall pass a fresh order within a period of three weeks' from today.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
15.06.2026

PN