



2026:UHC:4772

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>ABA No.220 of 2026</u> <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u> Mr. Rajat Mittal, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Pushpa Bhatt, learned Addl. Advocate General along with Mr. S.C. Dumka, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. By means of the present application, the applicant seeks anticipatory bail in connection with F.I.R. No. 0082 of 2022 dated 29.02.2020, registered under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the I.P.C. at Police Station Sahaspur, District Dehradun. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the aforesaid F.I.R. came to be lodged pursuant to the directions issued by this Court in W.P.P.I.L. No. 67 of 2019 concerning alleged irregularities in the disbursement of scholarship amounts meant for Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe students; that, During the course of investigation, the name of the present applicant also surfaced on the allegation that he had obtained scholarship benefits on the basis of a forged income certificate. 5. He would further submit that the scholarship was sanctioned in favour of the applicant's son for the financial years 2011 to 2015; that, as per the



		prosecution case, the applicant had shown his monthly income as ₹15,552/, whereas his actual monthly income at the relevant time was ₹21,552/-; that, the scholarship amount alleged to have been wrongly obtained has already been refunded by the applicant.; that, the applicant has cooperated with the investigation throughout and that the charge-sheet has already been submitted before the competent court, therefore, no custodial interrogation of the applicant is warranted. 6. Learned State Counsel would oppose the anticipatory bail application. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant has refunded the scholarship amount alleged to have been wrongfully obtained and that the investigation has culminated in submission of the charge-sheet. 7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 8. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties, the nature of the allegations contained in the F.I.R., the fact that the applicant has already refunded the scholarship amount allegedly obtained by furnishing an incorrect income certificate, and further considering that the investigation stands completed and the charge-sheet has already been filed, this Court does not find any necessity for custodial interrogation of the applicant. Accordingly, this Court is of
--	--	--



		the opinion that the applicant has made out a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail. 9. Consequently, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, it is directed that, in the event of arrest of the applicant in connection with F.I.R. No. 0082 of 2022, registered at Police Station Sahaspur, District Dehradun, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Court concerned, subject to the following conditions: (i) The applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by the Investigating Officer as and when required and shall cooperate with the investigation as well as the trial proceedings; (ii) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case; (iii) The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or attempt to influence any witness in any manner whatsoever; (iv) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned and, if he possesses a passport, shall furnish the details thereof before the Investigating Officer/Court concerned; (v) The applicant shall regularly appear before the Court concerned on each and every date fixed, unless exempted in accordance with law;
--	--	---



2026:UHC:4772

			(vi) The applicant shall not misuse the liberty of anticipatory bail and shall maintain good conduct throughout the pendency of the proceedings. 10. In the event of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, it shall be open to the prosecution to move an appropriate application seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail in accordance with law. 11. Subject to the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail application stands allowed. (Alok Mahra, J.) 15.06.2026 Mamta
--	--	--	--