

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p>WPCRL No.1110 of 2026 <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Sanjay Kumar Chandel, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Manoj Bhatt and Mr. Nikhil Bisht, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>2. By means of the present writ petition, petitioners seek quashing of F.I.R./Case Crime No.0113 of 2026, under Sections 115(2), 351(3), 352 & 69 of B.N.S., registered at Police Station Bahadarabad, District Haridwar.</p> <p>3. An F.I.R. was lodged by respondent no.3 alleging that she was in a relationship with the petitioner and that the petitioner, on the false pretext of marriage, established physical relations with her. It is further alleged that when respondent no.3 requested the petitioner to solemnize the marriage, he refused to do so and also extended threats of dire consequences, including threats to her life, in the event she initiates any legal proceedings against him.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned F.I.R. is false, frivolous and has been lodged with a mala fide intention to harass the petitioner. It is contended that the allegations of physical relations on a false pretext of marriage are wholly incorrect, inasmuch as, the marriage between the petitioner and respondent no.3 had already been solemnized on 10.10.2024 in accordance with their free will and consent. It is further submitted that a marriage document evidencing the said marriage was prepared on 11.10.2024 and was duly signed by both parties. The said document was also witnessed by the father of the petitioner and the parents of respondent no.3. Learned counsel further submits that, at the insistence of respondent no.3, a public Roka/Tilak ceremony was organized on 27.11.2025, which was attended by the family members and relatives of both sides, thereby demonstrating the existence of a subsisting matrimonial relationship between the parties. It</p>

is thus argued that the essential ingredients of the offences alleged in the F.I.R. are not made out and the criminal proceedings are an abuse of the process of law.

5. Learned State Counsel, on instructions, submits that during the course of investigation, the petitioner did not cooperate with the Investigating Agency and, consequently, a Non-Bailable Warrant has been issued against him by the competent court on 04.06.2026. Learned State Counsel further submits that the investigation is still in progress.

6. Admit.

7. Issue notice to respondent no.3, returnable at an early date, by registered post acknowledgment due.

8. List this matter after receipt of the service report. Counter affidavit, if any, may be filed by the respondents in the meantime.

9. In the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, it is directed that investigation pursuant to the impugned F.I.R. shall continue in accordance with law; however, till the next date of listing, the petitioner shall not be arrested in connection with the aforesaid F.I.R., provided he cooperates with the investigation and makes himself available before the Investigating Officer as and when required. It is further directed that the Non-Bailable Warrant dated 04.06.2026 issued against the petitioner shall be kept in abeyance, till the next date of listing.

10. Stay Application (I.A. No. 1 of 2026) stands disposed of.

(Alok Mahra, J.)

12.06.2026

Arpan