

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPPIL/121/2025</u> Lalit Miglani -----Petitioner Versus -----Respondents State Of Uttarakhand and others <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Gupta, C. J.</u> <u>Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.</u> 1. Mr. Pankaj Miglani, learned counsel for petitioner. 2. Mr. B.S.Parihar, learned Additional C.S.C. for State. 3. Mr. D.S.Patni, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Bhupendra Singh Bisht, learned counsel for respondent no.3 and 4. 4. Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, learned counsel for respondent no.6. 5. Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.6 states that he has filed Inspection Report submitted by the officials of the Pollution Control Board in the Registry today. Office is directed to place the said report on record of the instant case. 6. Learned counsel for respondent no. 6 states that in the survey conducted by respondent no. 6, two hotels, namely, M/s Holiday Home and M/s Dynasty Resort were found non-compliant and closure order has been issued against M/s Dynasty Resort on 20th April, 2026. Learned counsel for respondent no. 6 states that the other hotel is non-compliant for the reason that it does not have the consent to operate. He further states that the Pollution Control Board would take immediate action for closure of the said hotel until all statutory compliances are made. 7. Mr. Pankaj Miglani, leaned counsel for

		petitioner submits that the said hotel (M/s Dynasty Resort), against which, admittedly closure order has been passed is still running. 8. Learned counsel for the respondent no. 6 states that it will be ensured within 48 hours that the said hotel is closed down till the time compliances are made. 9. The statement of learned counsel for respondent no. 6 is, accordingly, recorded so that the necessary action in accordance with law is taken without any delay. 10. Leave granted to learned counsel for petitioner to implead the aforestated hotels as respondent no. 7 and 8 to the writ petition. 11. Issue notice to the newly impleaded respondents by speed post. Steps be taken within three days. 12. List on 03.06.2026. 13. Meanwhile, counter affidavit, if any, be filed by the impleaded respondents. 14. We also permit learned counsel for petitioner to implead District Magistrate, Nainital and Sub Divisional Magistrate, Nainital as party respondents. They will ensure that the temporary stalls which were allegedly got removed from the catchment area do not re-occupy the area. Compliance report shall be filed by the concerned S.D.M. in this regard by the next date. 15. Regular monitoring shall be done by the District Administration to ensure that the area is not re-occupied by anyone. (Subhash Upadhyay, J.) (Manoj Kumar Gupta, C.J.) 06.05.2026 06.05.2026 Kaushal
--	--	---

--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--