



2026:UHC:4451

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p>WPSS 1432/2026 WPSS 1436/2026 WPSS 1437/2026 WPSS 1438/2026 WPSS 1439/2026</p> <p><u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Hem Chandra Joshi, Advocate, for the petitioners.</p> <p>Ms. Mamta Bisht, Deputy AG, for the State.</p> <p>(2) Since the issue involved in all these writ petitions is identical, therefore, these are being heard and decided together by this common judgment. However, for brevity, facts of Writ Petition (S/S) No. 1432 of 2026 alone are being considered and discussed here.</p> <p>(3) Petitioner is serving on daily wages in Uttarkashi Forest Division since 2012. According to him, a list of daily wage employees, who have to be paid minimum of pay scale, has been prepared, however petitioner's name does not figure in that list, even though juniors to him have been included in the said list. By means of this writ petition, petitioner has sought the following reliefs:</p> <p><i>"(I) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to pay prevailing minimum of pay scale including Dearness Allowance alongwith arrears as per recommendation of 7th pay commission to the petitioner as being paid to regularly appointed employees in the State of Uttarakhand in accordance with law.</i></p> <p><i>(II) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the</i></p>



respondents to include the name of the petitioners in the list of eligible daily wage employees pursuance of the letters dated 10.04.2026 and 13.04.2026 in accordance with law."

(4) Learned State Counsel refers to a communication issued by Conservator of Forest on 13.4.2026, whereby daily wage employees, whose name could not be included in the list, were asked to submit application.

(5) Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has made a representation which is pending consideration. He, however, submits that petitioners be permitted to make fresh representation.

(6) Writ petitions are, accordingly, disposed of by permitting the petitioners to make fresh representation to respondent no. 3. If petitioners make such representation within two weeks from today, decision thereupon shall be taken by the competent authority, as per law, within four weeks thereafter.

(7) If petitioners are continuing as daily wager, they shall not be disturbed for a period of six weeks.

(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.)
3.6.2026

Pr



2026:UHC:4451

--	--	--	--