

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S
			<p><u>CRLA No. 325 of 2026</u> Kamarjeet SinghAppellant Vs. State of UttarakhandRespondent <u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u> Mr. Harsh Vardhan Dhanik, learned counsel for the Appellant.</p> <p>2. Mr. Vikash Uniyal, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. The present Criminal Appeal has been filed against the judgment and order dated 31.03.2026 passed by the learned Special Sessions Judge (NDPS), Champawat, in Special Sessions Trial No. 28 of 2021, "<i>State vs. Kamarjeet Singh</i>", whereby the Appellant has been convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for five years along with a fine of Rs. 60,000/-. In default of payment of fine, the Appellant has been directed to undergo six months' simple imprisonment for the offence punishable under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that during the course of trial, the Appellant remained on bail and never misused the liberty so granted. It is further submitted that the co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 15.05.2026 and the case of the present Appellant stands on identical footing and parity with that of the said co-accused.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned State Counsel opposed the Bail Application.</p> <p>7. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the Appellant remained on bail throughout the trial and never misused</p>

the liberty so granted, that the co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court, and that the appeal is not likely to be heard in the near future, this Court is of the opinion that a fit case for grant of bail is made out. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the appeal, sufficient grounds exist for granting bail to the Appellant during the pendency of the appeal.

8. Accordingly, the Bail Application (IA No. 01 of 2026) is allowed.

9. Let the Appellant be released on bail upon executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

10. It is clarified that grant of bail shall not be treated as a ground for seeking unnecessary adjournments or for delaying the disposal of the present Criminal Appeal.

11. Admit.

12. Let the Trial Court Record be summoned.

13. Upon receipt of the Trial Court Record, the Registry is directed to prepare the paper book and furnish copies thereof to learned counsel for the parties in accordance with the Rules.

14. List this case on 31.07.2026.

(Ashish Naithani, J.)

30.05.2026

SB