

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPCRL No.989 of 2026 <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u> Mr. Harshpal Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Manoj Bhatt, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. By means of the present writ petition, petitioner seeks quashing of F.I.R. No.141 of 2026, under Section 69 of B.N.S., 2023, registered at Police Station-Transit Camp, District Udham Singh Nagar. 3. An F.I.R. has been lodged by the complainant alleging that she and the petitioner were in a relationship for more than four years and that, during the subsistence of the said relationship, physical relations were established between them on several occasions. It is further alleged that when the complainant came to know that the petitioner was intending to marry another woman, she attempted to contact him, but his mobile phone was switched off. Thereafter, the impugned F.I.R. came to be lodged on 12.05.2026. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that both the petitioner and the complainant were major individuals and were employed in the same factory situated at SIIDCUL. It is submitted that they were in a consensual relationship for a considerable period and that any physical relationship between them was established with the free will and consent of both parties, each being fully aware of the nature and consequences of their actions. Learned counsel further submits that prior to lodging of the F.I.R., the complainant had caused a legal notice dated 16.04.2026 to be served upon the petitioner, wherein it was alleged that certain amounts were due and payable

			by the petitioner to the complainant. It was further stated therein that in the event of failure to repay the said amount, the complainant would be constrained to initiate legal proceedings against him. It is contended that the allegations levelled in the F.I.R. have been made subsequently and are an afterthought, arising out of personal disputes between the parties. It is also submitted that the allegations contained in the F.I.R., even if taken at their face value, disclose that the parties remained in a consensual relationship for a prolonged period. The petitioner asserts that the criminal proceedings have been initiated only after the relationship turned sour and differences arose between the parties. 5. Issue notice to the respondent no.4, returnable at an early date. 6. As prayed, three weeks' time is granted to learned State Counsel to file counter affidavit. 7. List after service report is received. 8. Considering the submission advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, it is directed that, till the next date of listing, investigation pursuant to the impugned F.I.R. may go on, however, petitioner shall not be arrested, provided he cooperates with the investigation. 9. Stay application (I.A. No.1 of 2026) stands disposed of. (Alok Mahra, J.) 10.06.2026 Arpan
--	--	--	--