



2026:UHC:4279

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 1585 of 2026

30 May, 2026

Sanjay Kumar

--Petitioner

Versus

Mukhya Nagar Adhikari Nagar Nigam Haridwar &
another

--Respondents

With

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1586 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1588 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1589 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1590 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1591 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1592 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1593 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1594 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1595 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1596 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1597 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1598 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1599 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1600 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1602 of 2026

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1603 of 2026

Presence:-

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma along with Mr. Ramesh Nainwal,
learned counsel for the petitioners in the aforesaid writ petitions.

Mr. Sandeep Kothari, learned counsel for the respondents-Nagar
Nigam, Haridwar.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.

These writ petitions have been filed by the
petitioners on the premise that they were awarded work



orders by respondent no.1, Nagar Nigam Haridwar, for various civil works within the territorial limits of Nagar Nigam Haridwar.

2. It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the said works were completed by the petitioners during the year 2024–2025, as the case may be.

3. The writ petitions have been filed by the petitioners on the premise that the amounts due under the work orders have not been paid to them despite completion of the works entrusted to them. Therefore, these writ petitions have been filed seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to pay the amounts due to the petitioners for the construction works executed under the respective work orders, along with interest at the rate of 18% per annum.

4. Since petitioners have approached this Court seeking a writ of mandamus, they submit that the representations submitted by them are not being considered by the respondents. Therefore, these writ petitions may be disposed of by granting liberty to petitioners to submit fresh representations before respondent no.1 within a stipulated period, and if such representations are submitted, respondent no.1 may be directed to decide the same within a stipulated period.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the grant of such relief to the petitioners in the interest of justice.

6. In view of the aforesaid, all these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction that each of the



2026:UHC:4279

petitioners shall submit a separate representation to respondent no.1 within a period of two weeks from today. If such representations are submitted, respondent no.1 shall consider and decide the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt thereof by passing a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law.

7. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)

30.05.2026

AK