



2026:UHC:4236

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 1551 of 2026

29 May, 2026

Pradeep Kumar

--Petitioner

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand & others

--Respondents

Presence:-

Mr. B.M. Pingal and Mr. Anil Dabral, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Yogesh Pandey, learned Deputy Advocate General for the State.

Mr. Sandeep Kothari, learned counsel for respondent no.7.

Mr. Vipul Sharma, learned counsel for respondent no.8.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.

The writ petition has been filed by the petitioner for the following relief:-

“i) Issue a writ, order or direction in nature of certiorari to quashing the impugned order dated 17.04.2026 in league with amended order dated 25.04.2026 (contained as Annexure No.9 & 10 respectively) passed by respondent no.5. From Page No.30 to 37.”

2. A preliminary objection has been raised by the learned State counsel as well as the learned counsel for respondent nos. 7 & 8 that, against the order impugned, petitioner has a statutory remedy of filing an appeal before the Cooperative Tribunal under Section 98(1)(h) read with Section 98(2)(c) of the Uttarakhand Cooperative Societies Act, 2003.

3. This Court finds substance in the preliminary objection raised by the respondents, as the statutory remedy is apparently available to the petitioner. Thus,



2026:UHC:4236

there is no scope for interference, and the writ petition is dismissed in-*limine*.

4. However, petitioner may approach the statutory appellate tribunal.

5. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
29.05.2026

AK