

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>WPCRL No. 942 of 2026</u> <u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mr. Ankur Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Tumul Nainwal, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Mr. Piyush Garg, learned counsel for the CBI. 4. Mr. Lalit Sharma, learned counsel with Mr. Aryan Dev Uniyal, learned counsel for respondent no. 8 and 9. 5. Mr. Aditya Singh, learned counsel for respondent no. 10. 6. The S.S.P. Dehradun joined the proceeding through V.C. and undertakes that he personally looked into the entire case diary and apprise to this Court on the next date. 7. Learned counsel for the petitioner make a false statement that all the electronic devices has been handed over to the I.O. On this, Mr. Tumul Nainwal, learned A.G.A. for the State placed before this Court the written instructions and submits that the victim has not provided any electronic device to the I.O. 8. The arguments, as advanced by learned counsel for the respondent nos. 8 to 10 are completely irrelevant at this stage, since, in this petition the petitioner is praying for transfer of the investigation to some another independent agency or the C.B.I. 9. Put up this matter on 30.05.2026 at 3:00 pm. 10. On that day, the S.S.P. Dehradun shall also join the proceeding through V.C. In the meantime, victim is directed to handover all

electronic devices to the I.O. In addition to this respondent no. 8, 9, 10 and their associates are directed not to make any attempt to contact with the petitioner who is the victim.

11. In the meantime, Mr. Piyush Garg, learned counsel may also get further instructions from C.B.I.

(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)
27.05.2026

Parul