

No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			<p>C528 No. 1099 of 2026 <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>2. Mr. V.S. Pal, learned A.G.A. for the State.</p> <p>3. Mr. Mohit Pandey, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2, 3 and 4.</p> <p>4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with the prayer to set-aside and quash the chargesheet, cognizance/summoning order as well as the entire proceedings of Session Trial No. 201 of 2021, State Vs. Zafar and Others, under Sections 364A, 386 of IPC, pending in the court of learned IV Additional Session Judge, Dehradun, District Dehradun, on the basis of compromise between the parties.</p> <p>5. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise.</p> <p>7. Parties are present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsels. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them.</p> <p>8. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, respondent nos. 2 to 4 have submitted that they have amicably settled their dispute with the applicants and they do not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicants.</p> <p>9. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the</p>

offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable.

10. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court.

11. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused.

12. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Session Trial No. 201 of 2021, State Vs. Zafar and Others, under Sections 364A, 386 of IPC, pending in the court of learned IV Additional Session Judge, Dehradun, District Dehradun, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicants. FIR and charge-sheet filed pursuant thereto stand quashed.

13. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Alok Mahra J.)

22.05.2026

Ujjwal