


SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	<p style="text-align: right;">COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS</p>  <p style="text-align: right;"><b>2026:UHC:4064</b></p>
			<p><b><u>BA 1<sup>st</sup> No.872 of 2026</u></b>  Hardeep Singh @ Laaddi .....Applicant  Vs.  State of Uttarakhand ...Respondent  <b><u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u></b></p> <p>Mr. Harshit Sanwal, learned counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Mr. Dinesh Chauhan, learned AGA, for the State of Uttarakhand</p> <p>3. In the High Court of Uttarakhand, the present case arises from Bail Application filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking regular bail for the Applicant – Hardeep Singh @ Laaddi, who has been accused in FIR No.199 of 2025, dated 28.04.2025 under Sections 103 (1), 109, 351 (2), 191 (2), 191 (3), 190, 3(5) and 61 (2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under Sections 25-1 (1-B) a, of the Arms Act, at Police Station Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar.</p> <p>4. As per the case of the prosecution that on 28.04.2025 at 2:20 AM Avdesh Kumar Sulja and Dinesh Kumar Sulja along with 10-15 unknown person came at the shop with a JCB and started breaking the shop of the informant. It has been alleged that the security guard at the shop had informed them and on being informed the informant along with his father and brother went to the shop and tried to stop the unknown persons from breaking their shop, however they threatened to kill them. When they tried to intervene to stop the persons from breaking their shop, they were fired upon which resulted in the death of the father and brother of the informant. It is further reported that the incident has been recorded in camera</p>

set up in the shop and that the DVR has been seized by the police.

5. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has been falsely implicated in the present matter and he has been languishing in jail since 02.05.2025. Learned counsel representing the Applicant submits for the consideration of the bail that as per the prosecution story based on the FIR, written by the informant who is star witness in the present matter relates to a double murder i.e. father and the brother of the informant.

6. The main consideration of the bail as advanced by the learned counsel is to the statement of the informant, the eye witness, and referring to the paragraph 1 of his testimony, it is stated that it has clearly mentioned that he could not recognize the person, as to who shot his father and brother. Referring to the FIR, where it is mentioned that the incident had been caught in the CCTV camera, the said footage on the basis of the said footage, the case is also not made out against the Applicant, as it is clear as per the details of the said CCTV footage, wherein, it is clearly mentioned that the persons are not being recognized properly. Further it is mentioned that there is no test identification parade had been conducted to verify the same. Hence, it is requested that the Applicant may be enlarged on bail.

7. Learned State Counsel, however, refutes to the said submission as advanced by the learned counsel for the Applicant mentioning that present matter relates to a double murder, wherein, there is a recovery of the mode of weapon i.e. pistol, on the behest of the Applicant, and as per CCTV

report, it is clear that vehicles present on the spot at the time of incident belong to the assailants, and it is clear indicative that he was the very person involved, and prays that the Applicant may not be released on bail.

8. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the Applicant has made out a case for bail.

9. Accordingly, bail application is allowed. It is directed that the Applicant - FIR No.199 of 2025, dated 28.04.2025 under Sections 103 (1), 109, 351 (2), 191 (2), 191 (3), 190, 3(5) and 61 (2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under Sections 25-1 (1-B) a, of the Arms Act, at Police Station Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar, be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond with two reliable sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned court. The Applicant shall cooperate with the trial proceedings and shall not misuse the liberty granted to him.

**(Ashish Naithani, J.)**

22.05.2026

Nitesh/

--	--	--	--