

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPMS No.1437 of 2022 <u>Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.</u> Mr. B.D. Pande, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. S.K. Nainwal, learned S.C. for the State. 3. Mr. Rohit Katharia, learned counsel for the applicant in Impleadment Application (IA/3/2025). 4. During the course of hearing of impleadment application, it is admitted to the petitioner as well as impleadment applicant that the subject matter of this writ petition is not the lease which was granted in favour of the applicant. 5. In the opinion of this Court, on this sole ground, there is no need to permit the applicant to be impleaded as party respondent in the present writ petition. 6. To this, learned counsel for the applicant objected that the matter concerning lease is pending before this Court and thus, applicant has every locus to challenge the same by intervention; petitioner has not come up before this Court with clean hands; despite there being every right, petitioner has wrongfully not impleaded him. 7. In the opinion of this Court, all these facts are of no help to the applicant in view of the fact that the subject matter of the present petition does not relate to the lease which is involved in the civil suit. Moreover, civil suit has already been filed by the petitioner and the applicant is at liberty to participate in that suit. 8. All said, there is no ground to allow the impleadment application. The same is, accordingly, rejected. (Pankaj Purohit, J.) 06.10.2025 <i>R.Dang</i>