


SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	<p style="text-align: right;">COURT'S OR JUDGES'S</p>  <p style="text-align: right;">2026:UHC:3872</p>
			<p><b><u>BA1 No. 814 of 2026</u></b>  Suleman <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span>  <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> State of Uttarakhand <span style="float: right;">.....Respondent</span>  <b><u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u></b>  Mr. Mohd. Safdar, learned counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Mr. Vikash Uniyal, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>3. The present Bail Application has been moved by the Applicant—Suleman, S/o Hayyat, R/o Garhi Sanghipur, P.S. Laksar, District Haridwar, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime No. 386 of 2026, registered at Police Station Laksar, District Haridwar, for offences punishable under Sections 3 and 5 read with Section 11 of the Uttarakhand Protection of Cow Progeny Act and under Section 3/11 of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the Applicant, while pressing the bail application, submitted that the Applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and is in judicial custody since 29.04.2026. It is further contended that the Applicant has no concern whatsoever with the alleged recovery and there is no evidence to show that any slaughtering had taken place in the house of the Applicant. Learned counsel submitted that the Applicant has been falsely roped into the present case. It is further argued that no independent witness was associated with the alleged recovery and there is a delay of about four hours in lodging the FIR. Learned counsel also submitted that till date no FSL report has been brought on record to establish that the allegedly recovered meat was beef. It is lastly submitted that the</p>

Applicant has no criminal history.

6. Per contra, learned State Counsel opposed the bail application contending that the Applicant has criminal history to his credit.

7. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that no FSL report has yet been brought on record to substantiate the nature of the alleged recovered meat. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the period of incarceration undergone by the Applicant, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the Applicant has been able to make out a fit case for grant of bail.

8. Accordingly, the Bail Application is allowed.

9. Let the Applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

10. It is made clear that any observation made hereinabove is only for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

11. All pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(Ashish Naithani, J.)**

19.05.2026

Shiksha

--	--	--	--