


SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	<p style="text-align: right;">COURT'S OR JUDGES'S</p>  <p style="text-align: right;">2026:UHC:3871</p>
			<p><u>BA1 No. 813 of 2026</u></p> <p>SahzadApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>State of UttarakhandRespondent</p> <p><u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Mohd. Safdar, learned counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Mr. Vikash Uniyal, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>3. The present Bail Application has been moved by the Applicant—Sahzad, S/o Munfait, R/o Garhi Sanghipur, P.S. Laksar, District Haridwar, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime No. 386 of 2026, registered at Police Station Laksar, District Haridwar, for offences punishable under Sections 3 and 5 read with Section 11 of the Uttarakhand Protection of Cow Progeny Act and Section 3/11 of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the Applicant, while pressing the bail application, submitted that the Applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and is in judicial custody since 29.04.2026. It is further contended that the Applicant has no concern whatsoever with the alleged recovery and the place from where the alleged recovery was made does not belong to the Applicant. Learned counsel for the Applicant further submitted that no independent witness has been associated in the alleged recovery and there is a delay of about five hours in lodging the FIR. It is also contended that till date no FSL report has been brought on record to establish that the allegedly recovered meat was beef. Furthermore, the co-accused has already been granted bail and, therefore, the present case stands on parity.</p>

6. Per contra, learned State Counsel opposed the bail application contending that the Applicant has criminal history to his credit.

7. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the co-accused has already been enlarged on bail and no FSL report has yet been brought on record to substantiate the nature of the alleged recovered meat. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the Applicant has been able to make out a fit case for grant of bail.

8. Accordingly, the Bail Application is allowed.

9. Let the Applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

10. It is made clear that any observation made hereinabove is only for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

11. All pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ashish Naithani, J.)

19.05.2026

Shiksha

--	--	--	--