SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
	04.06.2024		WPMS No. 1383 of 2024 **Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.** 1. Mr. Mohit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ashish Joshi, learned counsel for the respondents through V.C. 2. By the instant writ petition, the petitioner is praying for the following reliefs:- *"PRAYER* *It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to:* *i). Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned judgment and award dated 17-01-2024 passed by learned Prescribed Officer, Labour Court, Dehradun in adjudication case no. 09 of 2013 'Nandram Singh Vs. M/s Uttarakhand Transport Nigam & another' (contained as Annexure no. 1 to this writ petition).* *ii) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 07-07-2008 issued by respondent no. 2 (contained as Annexure no. 4 to this writ petition).* *iii) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to reinstate the services of the petitioner on the post of Conductor and pay him salary and other allowances with continuity of services as and when it became due.* *iv) Pass any other writ, order or direction, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."* 3. The main charge against the petitioner was with regard to five passengers,

			who were travelling; one passenger from Sirhand to Jalandhar; three passengers from Ludhiana to Jalandhar and one passenger from Rajpura to Amritsar and they were permitted to travel without ticket; though the petitioner has received the fare amount. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Divisional Manager, Dehradun, vide letter dated 23.05.2008 asked the explanation from the petitioner by 30.05.2008 and in reference to this, he has submitted an explanation on 07.07.2008 that out of five passengers, two passengers were from Punjab Police and the rest were from the same family and the head of the family was serving as technician in Punjab roadways. 5. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the explanation which was asked by the Corporation/respondent and submitted by the petitioner was not considered at all and the petitioner was terminated from the services. Apart from this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the funds of the petitioner have been

			forfeited by the Corporation/respondent which is wholly impermissible. 6. Mr. Ashish Joshi, learned counsel for the Corporation/respondent seeks three days' time to get instructions in the matter and submits that he will argue the matter finally on Friday. 7. Put up this matter on 07.06.2024 for final disposal. **(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)** 04.06.2024 Mahinder/