

No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			<p>C-528 No. 929 of 2026 <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Bharat Singh, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>2. Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, learned A.G.A. for the State.</p> <p>3. Mr. R.P. Singh, learned counsel for the complainant/respondent nos. 2, 3 and 4.</p> <p>4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside and quash the chargesheet, cognizance/summoning order as well as the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 877 of 2025, Jitendra Kumar Vs. Biram and Others, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 452, 504 and 506 of IPC, pending in the court of learned second Additional Civil Judge/Judicial Magistrate, Roorkee, District Haridwar, on the basis of compromise between the parties.</p> <p>5. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise.</p> <p>7. Parties are virtually present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsels. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them.</p> <p>8. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, respondents submit that now they have amicably settled their dispute with the applicants and they do not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the</p>

applicants.

9. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable.

10. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court.

11. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused.

12. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 877 of 2025, Jitendra Kumar Vs. Biram and Others, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 452, 504 and 506 of IPC, pending in the court of learned second Additional Civil Judge/Judicial Magistrate, Roorkee, District Haridwar are hereby quashed *qua* the applicants. FIR and charge-sheet filed pursuant thereto stand quashed.

13. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Alok Mahra J.)

07.05.2026

Ujjwal