

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
	08.05.2026		<p>CLCON No.181 of 2026 With CLCON Nos.99, 182, 216, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224 &amp; 225/2026</p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u></b></p> <p>Mr. Harendra Belwal, learned counsel (through video conferencing), Mr. Sagar Kumar, learned counsel and Mr. Sanjay Bhatt, learned counsel for the petitioners.</p> <p>2. Mr. Satyendra Singh Lingwal, learned counsel for G.B. Pant, University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Admittedly, there is non-compliance of the judgment dated 06.01.2025, passed by the Writ Court in batch of writ petitions, the leading one is WPSS No.436 of 2024. A further extension of time was also sought for compliance and it has been informed by the learned counsel for the petitioners that four months time was further granted by the writ court to comply the order which has already been expired.</p> <p>4. As per the judgment dated 06.01.2025, the respondents were given time limit to comply the orders. Mr. Satyendra Singh Lingwal, Advocate, appearing for the University officials submits that the respondent contemnor herein, submits that proposals regarding the creation of the posts to the Government time to time.</p> <p>5. I am sitting in a contempt jurisdiction and</p>

the Contempt Court in a contempt jurisdiction cannot grant time to comply with the order. The contempt court can only see whether the judgment has been complied with in letter and spirit.

6. Admittedly, the extended period has already been expired; nevertheless, the judgment has not been complied with. The excuse as given by the learned counsel for the University that the proposal was already sent to the Government cannot be accepted in the contempt jurisdiction, Since, the contempt is only meant to ascertain whether the judgment/ direction of writ court has been complied with or not in latter and spirit.

7. Admittedly, in this particular case, the judgment has not been complied with, though the period has already gone, therefore, this Court is primarily of the view that the contempt is made out.

8. In such view of the matter, let notices be issued to the respondents to file their response why the contempt proceeding be not drawn against them under the provision of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for non-compliance of the judgment passed by the Writ Court in WPSS No.436 of 2024.

9. List on 29.05.2026.

**(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)**

08.05.2026