

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p data-bbox="660 488 911 517"><u>ABA No. 140 of 2026</u></p> <p data-bbox="660 573 1158 611"><u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u></p> <ol data-bbox="660 629 1481 2000" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="660 629 1481 712">1. Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant. <li data-bbox="660 719 1481 846">2. Mr. Tumul Nainwal, learned A.G.A. along with Mrs. Sweta Badola Dobhal learned Brief holder for the State. <li data-bbox="660 853 1481 1451">3. The present applicant Mohd. Mateen S/o Mohd. Yaseen R/o Khan Alampura Saharanpur is praying for anticipatory bail in reference to FIR dated 21.11.2024 bearing FIR No. 0256 of 2024 registered at P.S. Rajpur, District Dehradun, lodged by one Suman Devi w/o Papendra wherein the present applicant Mohd. Mateen along with one Sher Khan R/o Saharanpur, Salman, Imtiyaz, Akbar, Sonu, Hazi Ashraf Ali and Farman Ilahi and one Vikas Sundriyal alias Sonu Munchh have been implicated for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4) read with Section 62 of BNS, 2023. <li data-bbox="660 1458 1481 1910">4. Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant argued that the applicant has nothing to do with the property in question and he is only the witness of the power of attorney and he is neither the owner nor the beneficiary. He submits that the main accused has been granted anticipatory bail by the Coordinate Bench and not only this, all the other co-accused have been granted either anticipatory bail or regular bail. <li data-bbox="660 1917 1481 2000">5. I have gone through with the order passed by the Coordinate Bench which is annexed as

Annexure-2.

6 After gone through with the order of the learned Sessions Judge whereby the anticipatory bail of the applicant was rejected by order dated 01.04.2026, it reveals that one case is also registered against the applicant at District Saharanpur bearing Case Crime No. 331 of 2024. It further reveals that the power of attorney was cancelled and subsequently another power of attorney was prepared showing different Aadhar number of Mrs. Ritu Mehta the actual owner of property and not only this, even the investigation reveals that in all the power of attorneys the photographs of different women have been pasted which has been verified by the care taker of Mrs.Ritu Mehta.

7. Let the State may get the detail of Case Crime No. 331 of 2024, which was registered in District Saharanpur, and also get the status of the investigation whether charge sheet has been filed or not and also place before this Court all copies of the power of attorneys including the history of the present applicant Mohd. Mateen as well as the complete history of all other co-accused persons positively by 06.05.2026, failing which the I.O. concerned shall join the proceedings through V.C.

8. List this case on 06.05.2026 as fresh.

(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)

02.05.2026

Nahid

--	--	--	--