

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>WPCRL No. 734 of 2026</u> <u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Himanshu Sain, learned AGA for the State. 3. Mr. Mohit Kumar Kashyap and Ms. Meenu Kashyap, learned counsel for the complainant/respondent no.3. 4. The petitioner Kuldeep Singh S/o Shri Bood Singh is praying for quashing of the First Information Report dated 21.03.2026 bearing FIR No. 0059 of 2026 Police Station Nanakmatta District Udham Singh Nagar, wherein he has been implicated for the offences punishable under Sections 65, 115 and 127(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with section 5/6 of POCSO Act. 4. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and in fact, he was in love with the victim / the daughter of the complainant, who deliberately shown the victim as minor in the FIR, which is evident from the fact that a marriage invitation card of the victim was published by the complainant regarding her marriage with another person wherein the marriage was scheduled to be held on 25.03.2026. He submits that just few days back of the marriage on 19.03.2026, the victim herself left her house and immediately rush to the petitioner on 20.03.2026 and on his advice, the victim return back to her house. 5. Mr. Sain, the learned AGA apprise to this Court that I.O. call upon the petitioner and victim and apprise that in fact, the victim admit about her love with the petitioner and she also wants to marry with the petitioner. 6. Be that as it may, admittedly the

impugned FIR has been lodged for the offences punishable under the penal provisions of the POCSO Act and BNS 2023 and which requires through investigation but taking into consideration the instruction, which has been received by the learned AGA, at this juncture, this Court is of the view that the investigation can't be stopped and it should go ahead however the cooperation of the petitioner is required.

7. Mr. Mohit Kumar Kashyap, learned counsel for the complainant submits that still the petitioner is repeatedly threatening to the respondent 3 - complainant/ father of victim and the I.O. have not properly record the statement of the victim and she was compelled by the I.O.

8. Let this matter be posted on 08.05.2026. On that day, the I.O. shall join the proceedings through V.C.

(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)

06.05.2026

SKS/Nahid

--	--	--	--