

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			<p>CRLA No.229 of 2024 <u>Hon'ble Vivek Bharti Sharma, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. (Dr.) A.P. Singh, learned Senior Advocate (through video conferencing) assisted by Ms. Gyan Mati Kushwaha, learned counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>2. Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Additional Government Advocate assisted by Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, Brief Holder for the State.</p> <p>3. Present appeal is filed against the judgment and order dated 30.03.2024, passed in Special Sessions Trial No.18 of 2019 (Case Crime No.530 of 2018), State Vs. Sanjeev Kumar and another, punishable under Section 376 (AB) IPC and Section 5(l)/6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, Police Station Pathri, Directed Haridwar, pending in the court of Additional District Judge/FTSC, District Haridwar.</p> <p>4. <u>Heard on Interim Bail Application, IA No.6 of 2025.</u></p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the appellant/convict would submit that the appellant/convict is a sports person proficient in wrestling and he has brought many awards and medals in this game that the appellant/convict wants to participate in the 38th National Games, which are proposed to start on 28.01.2025 in Uttarakhand.</p> <p>6. Learned State Counsel would vehemently oppose the interim bail application on the ground that on the directions of the Court, the instructions were sought and Sub Inspector Shahida Parveen, Thana Pathri, District</p>

Haridwar, has stated in writing that when enquired from the appellant/convict, he stated that he did not give any application to participate in the 38th National Games.

7. On enquiry, the learned counsel for the applicant/convict would express ignorance to the fact that whether some invite was sent to the appellant/convict to participate in the 38th National Game by the Wrestling Association of Uttarakhand or was any application made through proper channel, i.e. the Superintendent of Jail, where the appellant/convict is lodged.

8. In view of the above, this Court does not see any ground for allowing this interim bail application. Hence, the interim bail application is dismissed.

9. List this matter for hearing on 22.04.2025.

(Vivek Bharti Sharma, J.)

Vacation Judge
22.01.2025

SB/RV