

WPMS No. 498 of 2018

**Hon'ble Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.**

(Via Video Conferencing)

Mr. Siddhartha Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sudhir Singh, Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand.

Mr. Bhuwan Bhatt, Advocate for the respondent no.9.

When on the previous date, the matter was listed and was adjourned on the request of learned counsel for the respondent no.9, as per the procedure provided under the cause list, itself for adjournment. It could be made only after informing the assisting counsel of Mr. Siddhartha Singh, Advocate and Mr. P. S. Bisht, Advocate, a mention was made through Mr. Rahul Consul, Advocate for an adjournment and the same was granted, which was later objected by the counsel for the petitioner Mr. Siddhartha Singh, on the ground that no consent was taken by respondent counsel, prior to seeking adjournment.

Hence, after passing a separate order on the same date, itself, the matter was posted for today. Today, a statement has been made by Mr. Bhuwan Bhatt, Advocate, for the respondent no.9, that he has got a call detail, by virtue of which, he has communicated to Mr. P. S. Bisht, Advocate, the assisting counsel of Mr. Siddhartha Singh, Advocate for the petitioner, about the adjournment which he intended to avail on the previous date fixed by the Court.

Mr. P. S. Bisht, Advocate, for the petitioner, is not available today.

Mr. Siddhartha Singh, Advocate for the petitioner, would collect the necessary information, from Mr. P. S.

Bisht, Advocate, and makes a statement on the next date fixed, with regards to the aforesaid statement made by Mr. Rahul Consul, Advocate, on behalf of Mr. Bhuwan Bhatt, Advocate for the respondent no.9.

Put up this Writ Petition on 02.12.2020.

Interim order, if any, would remain extended till the next date of listing.

**(Sharad Kumar Sharma,J.)**

Pant/

28.11.2020