

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>CRLR No. 246 of 2026</u>  <u>With</u>  <u>IA No. 1 of 2026</u>  <u>With</u>  <u>CRLR No. 250 of 2026</u>  <u>With</u>  <u>IA No. 1 of 2026</u>  <u>IA No. 2 of 2026</u>  <u>CRLR No. 256 of 2026</u>  <u>With IA No. 1 of 2026</u>  <u>IA No. 2 of 2026</u>  <u>CRLR No. 258 of 2026</u>  <u>With</u>  <u>IA No. 1 of 2026</u>  <u>IA No. 2 of 2026</u>  <b><u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the revisionist in CRLR No. 246 of 2026 and CRLR No. 250 of 2026.</li> <li>2. Mr. T.A. Khan, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Mohd. Shafy, learned counsel for the revisionist in CRLR No. 256 of 2026 and CRLR No. 258 of 2026.</li> <li>3. Mr. B.C. Joshi, learned A.G.A. for the State.</li> <li>4. Mr. Abhishek Dutt, learned counsel for the complainant.</li> <li>5. These revisions are preferred by the revisionists (convicts) against the judgment and order passed by the Trial Court dated 26.06.2025 whereby the revisionists have been convicted with the maximum sentence of seven years along with the fine. The conviction and sentence was also confirmed by the Appellate court.</li> <li>6. Now, the instant revisions have been preferred by all the revisionists.</li> <li>7. As per the office report, all the revisions are well within time.</li> </ol>

8. Admit.
9. Summon the trial court record.
10. It is argued that the trial court convicted all the accused persons by a common order, however, separate appeals were filed by the convict and the conviction and the sentence has been confirmed by the Appellate Court.
11. In all the revisions exemption applications have been moved seeking exemption from surrender.
12. It is argued by Mr. T.A. Khan, learned counsel for the revisionist that the parties have already entered into compromise, and, therefore, revisionists may be exempted from surrender.
13. Ms. Rangoli Purohit, learned Brief Holder for the State as well as counsel for the complainant have not disputed that the parties have entered into compromise.
14. After hearing learned counsel for the revisionists and taking into consideration that parties have settled their dispute, exemption application moved in each of the revision are allowed.
15. Mr. T.A. Khan, learned counsel submits that he is not pressing the bail application in CRLR No. 256 of 2026 and CRLR No. 258 of 2026 since he has already moved exemption application, accordingly, the bail application is dismissed as not pressed.
16. List this revision in the week commencing 06.07.2026.

**(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)**  
04.05.2026

Parul

--	--	--	--