

Sl. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			<p>WPMS No.913 of 2026  Interarch Mazdoor Sangathan .....Petitioner  Vs.  State of Uttarakhand and others .....Respondents</p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.</u></b>  Mr. D.S. Mehta, learned counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>2. Mr. Yogesh Pandey, learned D.A.G. for the State.</p> <p>3. Mr. C.K. Sharma, learned counsel has put in his appearance for respondent no.6, who prays for and is granted ten days' time for filing his <i>vakalatnama</i> in the matter.</p> <p>4. This Court vide order dated 15.04.2026 directed the State counsel to submit that as to why the order for prosecution against respondent no.6 has not been complied with.</p> <p>5. Today instructions are produced before this Court which are taken on record.</p> <p>6. On the strength of the instructions, it is submitted by learned State counsel that though the order has been passed on 20.05.2023, but there was no permission for inspection of the unit and therefore the prosecution of respondent no.6 could not have been done.</p> <p>7. This is nothing but a lame excuse on the part of the respondents.</p> <p>8. This Court is not satisfied with the instructions passed on to this Court by the learned State counsel.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the respondent(s) may file detailed counter affidavit(s) within four weeks.</p> <p>10. List this case on 02.06.2026.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Pankaj Purohit, J.)</b> 21.04.2026</p> <p>SK</p>