


SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	<p style="text-align: right;">COURT'S OR JUDGES'S</p>  <p style="text-align: right;">2026:UHC:3484</p>
			<p><b><u>BA1 No. 576 of 2026</u></b>  Kunal Kohli <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span>  <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> State of Uttarakhand <span style="float: right;">.....Respondent</span>  <b><u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u></b>  Mr. K.K. Harbola, learned counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Mr. Chitrarth Kandpal, learned A.G.A for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>3. The present Bail Application has been moved by the Applicant—Kunal Kohli, S/o Shri Ram Kohli, R/o Room No. 107, Nalasopara Bhiwandi Apartment, Mumbai, Maharashtra, presently residing at Gaindakhyali, Tanakpur, District Champawat. The Applicant is in judicial custody in connection with F.I.R./Case Crime No. 0067 of 2025, registered at Police Station Banbasa, District Champawat, for offences punishable under Sections 8/21/22 of the N.D.P.S. Act, 1985.</p> <p>4. Heard Mr. K.K. Harbola, learned counsel for the Applicant, and Mr. Chitrarth Kandpal, learned Brief Holder for the State. Perused the record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and, on a bare perusal of the F.I.R., it is clear that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the Applicant. It is submitted that only the co-accused disclosed the name of the present Applicant in his confessional statement before the police; therefore, no offence under the provisions of the N.D.P.S. Act, 1985 is made out against the present Applicant. It is further submitted that the main co-accused, namely, Isha Vishwakarma, from whose possession a large quantity of contraband was allegedly recovered, has already been granted bail</p>

by this Court vide order dated 24.03.2026, and the present Applicant stands on parity with the said co-accused. The present Applicant is in jail since 04.08.2025 and has no previous criminal antecedents.

6. Per contra, learned A.G.A. for the State has opposed the bail application; however, he does not dispute the fact that the case of the present Applicant stands on parity with that of the co-accused, namely, Rahul, who has already been granted bail on 17.04.2026.

7. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court finds that the co-accused has already been granted bail and the present Applicant claims parity. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the Applicant has made out a case for grant of bail at this stage.

8. Accordingly, the Bail Application is allowed.

9. Let the Applicant—Kunal Kohli be released on bail upon executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

10. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(Ashish Naithani, J.)**

07.05.2026

Shiksha