



2026:UHC:2643

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.895 of 2026

15 April, 2026

Ranawat Solar Private Limited

--Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India and Others

--Respondents

Presence:-

Mr. Suryakant Maithani, learned counsel for petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sharma, learned C.G.S.C. for the Union of India/respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Suyash Pant, learned Standing Counsel with Ms. Swati Verma, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand/respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.

By means of this writ petition, petitioner has challenged the communication dated 30.07.2024 and 09.11.2023 issued by respondent nos.1 and 2, whereby it was provided that the benefit of scheme known as Industrial Development Scheme, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as "Scheme of 2017"), shall be extended only to those units which have been registered and not to the unregistered units.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner at the outset submitted that the case of petitioner is squarely covered by the order and judgment passed by Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, wherein, the said communications dated 30.07.2024 and 09.11.2023 were subject matter and various writ petitions that were filed in the High Court of Himachal Pradesh were allowed by the learned Single Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh. The LPA there against was also dismissed.

3. The judgment and order passed by learned Single Judge as well as learned Division Bench in LPA of High Court of Himachal Pradesh attained finality up to Apex Court.



2026:UHC:2643

4. It was provided by High Court of Himachal Pradesh that the matter relating to registration of unit shall be considered by the Empowered Committee as per the Clause 6.1 of policy of the Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion (D.I.P.P.) and the Empowered Committee shall be chaired by the Secretary of the said department and each case shall be examined individually by the Empowered Committee and the entire exercise shall be conducted within a period of four months from the date of order passed by the High Court of Himachal Pradesh.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner also submitted that the present matter is also squarely covered by the judgment passed by this Court vide order dated 12.03.2026 in WPMS No.559 of 2026 *Neeraj Gupta Vs. Union of India and Ors.*, and Batch of writ petitions, whereby, these writ petitions were allowed.

6. Learned counsel for respondents do not oppose the said fact that the matter is squarely covered by judgment passed by this Court vide order dated 12.03.2026 in WPMS No.559 of 2026 *Neeraj Gupta Vs. Union of India and Ors.*, and Batch and the present writ petition may be disposed of in the terms of the said order.

7. Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed. The Empowered Committee chaired by the Secretary of D.I.P.P. shall consider the case of petitioner separately within a period of four months from the date of production of certified of this order for grant of incentives under the Scheme of 2017 flouted by respondent nos.1 and 2.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)

15.04.2026

PN