



2026:UHC:2698

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>WPMS/858/2026</u> <u>WPMS/866/2026</u> <u>WPMS/867/2026</u> <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Vishwa Prakash Bahuguna, Advocate for the petitioner through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Mr. Devendra Pant, Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand/ respondent nos. 1, 2, 4 & 5.</p> <p>3. Mr. Raunak Pant, Advocate, holding brief of Mr. Naresh Pant, Advocate for respondent no. 3.</p> <p>4. Since common questions of law and fact are involved in these petitions, therefore, they are being heard and decided together. However, for the sake of brevity and convenience, facts of WPMS No. 858 of 2026 alone are being considered and discussed.</p> <p>5. Land belonging to the petitioner was reportedly acquired for construction of NH-72. Since compensation has not been released to the petitioner so far, therefore, she has approached this Court, seeking the following reliefs: -</p> <p><i>“(i) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to release and pay full and fair compensation to the petitioner for her acquired land, along with all statutory benefits under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, within a stipulated time frame;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Issue a order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the report dated 12.09.2022 (Annexure-5, Page No. 48 to 49) and all consequential actions whereby the petitioner has</i></p>



been illegally denied compensation;

(iii) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to pay penal interest on delayed payment of compensation as mandated under law."

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier there was some dispute with the erstwhile owner of the land, who executed sale deed in favour of the petitioner and because of that dispute, petitioner's name could not be mutated in the revenue record, however, petitioner's dispute with the previous owner has been resolved and petitioner's name is also mutated in the revenue record. He submits that there is no valid reason for not releasing the amount of compensation to the petitioner.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has made a representation to the Competent Authority, Land Acquisition on 13.10.2025, who may be directed to take decision thereupon.

8. Learned counsel appearing for NHA as well as learned State Counsel submit that they have no objection, if the writ petitions are disposed of, in terms of prayer made by petitioner's counsel.

9. Accordingly, the writ petitions are disposed of by directing the Competent Authority, Land Acquisition, Dehradun to take decision on petitioners' representation dated 13.10.2025, as per law, within two months from the date of presentation of certified copy of this order. The other persons, who claim to have right, title or interest over the acquired land, may also be heard by the Competent Authority while passing any order.

(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.)

16.04.2026