


SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	<p style="text-align: right;">COURT'S OR JUDGES'S</p>  <p style="text-align: right;">2026:UHC:3589</p>
			<p><u>BA1 No. 480 of 2026</u> Sagar alia Sampurn KumarApplicant Vs. State of UttarakhandRespondent <u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u> Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Mr. J.P. Kandpal, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>3. The present Bail Application has been moved by the Applicant—Sagar alias Sampurn Kumar, S/o Shri Radheyshyam, R/o Kunwakhera, Police Station Laksar, District Haridwar, Uttarakhand. The Applicant is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 337 of 2025, registered at Police Station Kotwali Dehradun, District Dehradun, for offences punishable under Sections 109, 61(2), 125 and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 25/3 of the Arms Act.</p> <p>4. Heard Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the Applicant, and Mr. J.P. Kandpal, learned A.G.A. for the State. Perused the record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case merely on the basis of the alleged statements of co-accused persons and that he is not named in the FIR, as the FIR itself has been lodged against unknown persons. It is further submitted that the Applicant was neither present at the place of occurrence nor had any role in the alleged incident and no specific role has been assigned to him in the FIR. Learned counsel for the Applicant further submits that no independent witness of the alleged incident has been cited and the entire prosecution story is based upon vague and unsubstantiated</p>

allegations. It is also submitted that the Applicant was not arrested from the spot and there is no direct evidence connecting him with the alleged offence. Furthermore, co-accused person having similar role has already been enlarged on bail and the present case stands on parity. It is also submitted that the present matter is a non-injury case.

6. Per contra, learned A.G.A. for the State has opposed the bail application contending that after collecting credible evidence against the accused-applicant, the Investigating Officer has submitted the charge-sheet dated 14.09.2025 before the competent Court.

7. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds sufficient grounds to allow the bail application. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the Applicant has made out a fit case for grant of bail at this stage.

8. Accordingly, the Bail Application is allowed.

9. Let the Applicant—Sagar alias Sampurn Kumar be released on bail upon executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

10. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(Ashish Naithani, J.)

11.05.2026

Shiksha