

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>CRLA No. 124 of 2026</u> <u>With</u> <u>Bail Application (IA No. 01 of 2026)</u> Shadab --Appellant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>State of Uttarakhand --Respondent</p> <p><u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.,</u></p> <p>Mr. Mohd. Safdar, learned counsel for the Appellant.</p> <p>2. Mr. Vikash Uniyal, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>3. The matter is fixed for hearing on the Bail Application filed by the Appellant.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on the Bail Application (I.A. No. 01 of 2026).</p> <p>5. The present Criminal Appeal has been filed against the judgment and order dated 07.02.2026, passed by the learned FTC/Additional Sessions Judge/Special Judge (POCSO), Haldwani, District Nainital, in Special Sessions Trial No. 04 of 2025, "State vs. Shadab", for the offences punishable under Section 75 IPC and Sections 7/8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, Police Station Jwalapur, District Haridwar, whereby the learned Trial Court has convicted the Appellant under Section 75 IPC and Sections 7/8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and sentenced him to undergo three years' rigorous imprisonment along with a fine of Rs. 5,000/-, and in default of payment of fine, to undergo six months' additional imprisonment.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that the Appellant has been falsely implicated in the present case and was on bail during trial and never misused the liberty granted to him. It is further submitted that the Appellant is presently on interim</p>

bail.

7. Learned State Counsel opposed the Bail Application.

8. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the Appellant was on bail during trial and never misused the liberty granted to him and is presently on interim bail, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that sufficient grounds exist for granting bail to the Appellant.

9. Accordingly, the Bail Application is allowed. Let the Appellant be released on bail upon executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

10. It is clarified that the grant of bail shall not be treated as a ground for seeking unnecessary adjournments or for delaying the disposal of the present Criminal Appeal.

11. Admit.

12. Summon the Trial Court Record. Upon receipt of the same, the Registry is directed to prepare the paper book and supply it to learned counsel for the parties in accordance with the Rules.

13. List this case on 22.05.2026.

(Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.)
23.03.2026

Shiksha

--	--	--	--