

	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>Recall Application (MCRC) No.4 of 2023</u> <u>Recall Application (MCRC) No.6 of 2024</u> <u>In</u> <u>WPCLR No.428 of 2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Aditya Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.</p> <p>Mr. Lalit Sharma, Special Counsel with Saurabh Pande, Brief Holder for the State/respondent nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>Mr. Ramji Srivastava and Mr. Rajat Mittal, Advocates for respondent no.3.</p> <p>On 19.06.2023, instant criminal writ petition was decided on the basis of amicable settlement between the parties.</p> <p>Now, Recall Application (MCRC) Nos.4 of 2023 and 6 of 2024 have been filed by the respondent no.3 on the ground that a compromise was entered based on the assurance given by the petitioners that they would pay the entire money and for that purpose they have given post dated cheques. The details of the cheques have been given in the recall application.</p> <p>It is argued that when the cheques were presented, they were dishonoured.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondent no.3 would submit that it is a fraud played with the Court because the compromise application records everything, as to what were assured by the petitioners before acceptance of the compromise and in that compromise application the details of cheques were given. But subsequently, those cheques were dishonoured.</p> <p>The questions that would require</p>

answer is, whether the petitioners did play fraud with the Court? Had they secured dismissal of the criminal writ petition on the basis of such undertaking which subsequently, they did not keep? But, before it is decided, let the petitioners file objections to it.

List on 28.08.2024.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)

30.07.2024

Sanjay