

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>SA No. 84 of 2013</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mr. Siddhartha Singh, learned counsel for the appellant. 2. Mr. Aditya Singh, learned counsel for respondent nos. 10 and 11. 3. Mr. Nikhil Singhal, learned counsel for respondent no. 12 through V.C. 4. Mr. Kailash Chandra, learned counsel holding the brief of Mr. Nagesh Agarwal, learned counsel for respondent no. 15. 5. Ms. Abhilasha Tomar, learned counsel for respondent no. 16 through V.C. 6. On 05.09.2024, the coordinate bench of this Court restrained the parties to create any third party interest over the suit property till further orders. 7. Counsel for both the parties are agreed for a Commission report to ascertain whether any construction is being raised on the disputed land which according to Mr. Siddhartha Singh was earmarked for school, as per the description of the property, as given in Schedule C of the plaint which has been admitted by the defendants in para 6 and 7 of the written statement. 8. Let S.D.M. Haridwar submit a report after spot inspection whether in the land, which was earmarked for the school any construction has been raised or not, and, if so by whom. S.D.M. Haridwar is directed to fix a date of spot inspection and before that both the parties will furnish necessary documents to SDM.

- | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | | <p>9. Instant second appeal is admitted on two substantial questions of law, since, there is a restrained order and to examine the issue whether restrained order has been violated or not matter is posted on 24.03.2025.</p> <p>10. Till the next date of listing, parties are directed to maintain status quo in respect of the property in question.</p> <p>11. Copy of this order be send to S.D.M. concerned.</p> |
|--|--|--|---|

(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)
25.02.2025

Parul