

SA No. 84 of 2013

**Hon'ble Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.**

Mr. Siddhartha Singh, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Advocate for respondent nos. 10 & 11.

In pursuance to the notice issued to the private respondents, the respondent nos. 1 to 5 are reported to have been served. As far as the respondent nos. 6 to 11 are concerned there is a report that the notice sent to them has not been received back.

However, learned counsel Mr. Amarjeet Singh makes a statement that he is putting an appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 10 and 11. He submits that he will be seeking instructions on behalf of respondent nos. 6, 7, 8 & 9.

So far as respondent nos. 13, 14 & 15 are concerned, the report is that no person as such are residing at the said address.

The appellant will take steps for serving respondent nos. 13, 14 & 15 by way of substitutive service. He may take steps for issuing publication in one newspaper of a wide circulation of the area where the respondent nos. 13, 14 & 15 are shown to have been residing.

**(Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.)**

04.11.2019