

CLMA No.10605 of 2012

CLMA No.10604 of 2012

In

AO No.494 of 2012

Hon'ble Lok Pal Singh, J.

Mr. Bhupesh Kandpal, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. C.K. Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

Heard.

There is a delay of 91 days in filing the present application.

Though learned counsel for the respondent has opposed the delay condonation application, but in the opinion of the Court, reasons shown for delay are sufficient to condone the delay.

Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application, made therefore, stands allowed.

Admit the appeal on the following substantial question of law:

“Whether the determination of amount as required under Section 45-A of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 was bad in absence of notice to the appellant herein?”

Summon the lower court record.

List thereafter.

(Lok Pal Singh, J.)
24.07.2017