

HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA
WP(C) 548 of 2025

M/S Tarasankar Industries Private Limited Company, registered under the Companies Act, 2013, having its registered office at Tarasankar Plastic Industry, Maheshkhala By Pass Road, Amtali, West Tripura, Agartala, Tripura, India, 799003, Represented by its Directors, namely:-

1. Sri Sajib Saha, son of Sri Sankar Lal Saha, having his office at Tarasankar Plastic Industry, Maheshkhala By Pass Road, Amtali, West Tripura, Agartala, Tripura, India, 799003.

2. Sri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Son of..... having his registered office at Tarasankar Plastic Industry, Maheshkhala By Pass Road, Amtali, West Tripura, Agartala, Tripura, India, 799003

.....**Petitioner(s)**

VERSUS

1. The Union of India, represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India, having his office at Vanijya Bhawan 16, Akbar Road, New Delhi, Delhi, India.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India, having his office at Vanijya Bhawan 16, Akbar Road, New Delhi, Delhi, India.

3. The Secretary, Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises, Government of India, having his office at Vanijya Bhawan 16, Akbar Road, New Delhi, Delhi, India

.....**Respondents**

For the Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Somik Deb, Sr. Advocate. Mr. P.L Debbarma, Advocate. Mr. S. Majumder, Advocate. Mr. A. Baran, Advocate.
-----------------------	---	--

For the Respondent(s)	:	Mr. B. Majumder, Dy. S.G.I
-----------------------	---	----------------------------

BEFORE
HON'BLE JUSTICE DR. T. AMARNATH GOUD
ORDER

25.09.2025

- [1] Heard learned counsel appearing for both the parties.
- [2] This present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:

“i. Issue Rule, calling upon the respondents and each one of them, to show cause as to why a Writ of Certiorari and/or in the nature thereof, shall not be issued for transmitting the records, relevant to the subject matter of this writ petition, for rendering substantive and conscionable justice to the Petitioner;

ii) Issue Rule, calling upon the respondents and each one of them, to show cause as to why a Writ of Mandamus and/or in the nature thereof, shall not be issued for mandating/directing the respondents, to forthwith allow the Petitioner to register itself either in the North East Industrial Development Scheme (for short NEIDS) in reference to the Notification bearing reference No.F.No.10(6)/2016-DBA-II/NER dated 12.04.2018 (Annexure-13 supra) or in the Uttar Poorva Transformative Industrial Scheme (UNNATI), 2024, in reference to the Notification bearing reference No.F.No.P-44015/1/2023-DBA-II dated 09.03.2024, (Annexure-17 supra) issued by the Department of Industrial Policy & Promotion, Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India, and be benefitted therefrom;

iii. Call for the records appertaining to this petition;

iv) After hearing the parties, be pleased to make the Rule Absolute in terms of ii. above;

v) Costs of and incidental to this proceeding;

vi) Any other Relief(s) as to this Hon'ble High Court may deem fit and proper”

- [3] After perusal of the record, it has been seen that the petitioner herein submitted a representation dated 19.04.2025, addressed to respondent No.2, ventilating his grievances but till date the petitioner herein has not got any positive response from the concerned respondent.

- [4] In view of the same, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, this present writ petition is disposed of directing the concerned respondent(s) to consider the representation dated 19.04.2025 submitted by the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order in accordance with law.

- [5] With the above direction, this present writ petition stands disposed of. As a sequel, miscellaneous application(s), pending if any, shall stand closed.

DR. T.AMARNATH GOUD,J

Paritosh