



HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA
BA No.136 of 2026

Shri Trideep Pramanick,
S/o Tapan Pramanick, R/o Kultala, P.O. Tangra, District- Nadia, West Bengal, Pin-741506.
.....Petitioner(s);

For and on behalf of

Sri Tapan Pramanick,
S/o Sri Dashurathi Pramanick, R/o Kultala, Dakshinpara, Chapra Nadia, District – Nadia, West Bengal.
..... Accused person;

V E R S U S

The State of Tripura.
.....Respondent(s);

For Petitioner (s)	:	Mr. Raghunath Mukharjee, Advocate, Md. Shaiful Islam, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Raju Datta, Public Prosecutor, Mr. S. Saha, Additional Public Prosecutor.
Date of hearing and delivery of Order	:	21.07.2026.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. DATTA PURKAYASTHA
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel of both sides.

[2] The bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused Sri Tapan Pramanick in connection with SPL(TPID) 02/2018 registered under Sections 420, 406, 120(B), 409, 34 of IPC and Section 3 of Tripura Protection of Interests of Depositors (in Financial Establishment) Act, 2000.

[3] The accused surrendered before the learned Special Judge, Unakoti Judicial District, Kailashahar on 13.05.2026 and since then he is in custody.

[4] Learned Special Judge, Unakoti Judicial District, Kailashahar, received the case record on 27.11.2018 on commitment by the Court of

learned Judicial Magistrate (1st Class), Unakoti Judicial District, Kailashahar. Initially summons were issued to present accused along with other accused persons vide order dated 12.12.2018 for their appearances and again vide order dated 09.01.2019 fresh summons were issued upon the present accused person along with others for their appearances.

[5] Learned Special Judge, vide order dated 05.02.2019, observed that the present accused Sri Tapan Pramanick and two other accused persons had not appeared despite due issuance of summons on several times, and therefore, warrant of arrest was directed to be issued against them. Several reminders were also issued thereafter, but there was no execution of said warrant by the police authority. Finally, on 14.03.2022, learned Special Judge, received a reply from the concerned O/C of Chapra PS, Krishnanagar, Nadia, West Bengal, that no such warrant of arrest was pending. Again, the learned Special Judge issued another warrant of arrest dated 24.04.2023 pursuant to order dated 19.04.2023 and thereafter also reminders were issued repeatedly. Again a fresh warrant of arrest was issued on 10.09.2024 vide order dated 07.09.2024 by the learned Special Judge. Finally, the present accused surrendered before the Court on 13.05.2026 and since then he is in custody.

[6] Mr. Raghunath Mukharjee, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that in no occasion the present accused petitioner received any summons from the Court of learned Special Judge, Unakoti Judicial District, Kailashahar, otherwise, he would have appeared earlier in the said Court and as and when he received the information about pendency of one warrant against him, he immediately surrendered. Mr. Mukharjee, learned counsel, also submits that there is another case pending against him in CBI

Court at Agartala concerning similar nature of offences wherein the accused is regularly appearing on being released on bail.

[7] Learned counsel further submits that the present accused petitioner suffered a road traffic accident on 28.02.2026 wherein he received fracture of left elbow and skin grafting was also done. It is also submitted that despite being in custody, no charge has been framed against the present accused till date. Finally, learned counsel has sought for bail of the present accused petitioner on any condition.

[8] Mr. Raju Datta, learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, opposes the bail prayer referring to order dated 13.05.2026 of the learned Special Judge wherein it is observed that since 2019 warrant has been pending against the present accused petitioner. Even a writ of proclamation and attachment was also issued against him, but he did not appear for so many years. Therefore, the bail prayer was rejected.

[9] This Court has considered the submissions of both sides. It is a fact that the warrant issued against the present accused petitioner was pending for a long period and even learned Special Judge also issued a writ of proclamation and attachment vide order dated 14.05.2025. Therefore, conduct of the present accused person was not appreciable. Considering those facts, learned Special Judge has rejected the bail prayer of the present accused person.

[10] When the trial has been hampered and delayed due to non-appearance of the present accused person, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the present accused person at this stage. However, rejection of this

bail petition will not stand as a bar for considering the bail prayer of the accused person by the learned Special Judge in due course on consideration of any valid ground. As the accused is in custody, it is incumbent upon the learned Special Judge to expedite the trial. Therefore, learned Special Judge, Unakoti Judicial District, Kailashahar is directed to expedite the trial of the present accused petitioner, keeping in mind that he is in custody.

With such observations and directions, the bail application is disposed of.

Communicate a copy of this order to learned Special Judge, Unakoti Judicial District, Kailashahar.

Re-consign the case diary to learned Public Prosecutor with copy of this order.

Also return the Trial Court record.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

JUDGE