



**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA**

B.A. No.134 of 2026

Smt. Papri Sutradhar,
W/O- Sri Sanjoy Sutradhar, R/O- Moheshkhola, P.O- Ranirkhamar, P.S-
Amtali, Dist- West Tripura, PIN- 799003.

.....Applicant

On behalf of accused-

Sri Sanjoy Sutradhar, S/O- Lt. Ranjit Sutradhar, R/O- Madhuban, Dukli, P.S-
Amtali, Dist- West.

.....Accused Person

V e r s u s

The State of Tripura

.....Respondent

For Applicant(s)	:	Mr. Ratan Datta, Advocate. Mr. Ankan Tilak Paul, Advocate. Ms. Samadrita Debnath, Advocate. Ms. Debalina Debroy, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Raju Datta, PP. Mr. Soumyadeep Saha, Addl. PP.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. DATTA PURKAYASTHA

Order

17/07/2026

Heard learned counsel of both sides.

[2] The present bail application is filed in connection with Amtali P.S. Case No.77 of 2025 registered under Sections 22(c)/25/27A/29 of NDPS Act for the accused Sanjoy Sutradhar.

[3] The accused, Sanjoy Sutradhar, was arrested on 17.08.2025 and has been in custody since then. After a complete investigation, the police filed the chargesheet on 31.12.2025 in this case.

[4] The allegations as borne in the FIR, lodged by SI Mrinal Pal, are that while on vehicle-checking duty on 07.08.2025, the police detained one auto-rickshaw bearing registration No.TR 01 L 3932 on the Amtali Bypass Road in front of Nilgiri Dhaba and recovered total 90,000 Yaba tablets from the said auto-rickshaw. The driver of the said auto-rickshaw, namely, Subir Sutradhar, was accordingly arrested. According to the informant, there was no other passenger in the said vehicle.



[5] Mr. Ratan Datta, learned counsel, appearing for the applicant, submits that the accused has been in custody for about two years. Although the trial has commenced, but no witness has yet been examined in the case. Learned counsel further submits that there is no incriminating material against the present accused to implicate him in the case except the statement of the co-accused, Subir Sutradhar, made before the police. Mr. Datta, learned counsel also submits that the present accused is a first-time offender and that no other case has ever been registered or is pending against him.

[6] Mr. Raju Datta, learned PP, on the other hand, while producing the case diary, refers to the statement of one witness, namely Pintu Chakraborty, who is the eyewitness of the incident. He stated that he had found that the driver of the said auto-rickshaw to visit the house of present accused, Sanjoy Sutradhar on several occasions. Learned PP also relies on the CDR analysis report of the mobile phone numbers of the prime accused, Subir Sutradhar, present accused Sanjoy Sutradhar and another co-accused, Raja Saha. In the chargesheet, it is reflected that said Raja Saha had made several communication over phone with the prime accused, Subir Sutradhar, and simultaneously he also had communication over phone with the present accused, Sanjoy Sutradhar.

[7] According to both the sides, these are the materials that have emerged during the course of investigation against the present accused.

[8] Court has considered the submissions of both sides.

[9] According to this Court, there are no sufficient materials at this stage to directly connect the present accused, Sanjoy Sutradhar, with the alleged offence of carrying 90,000 Yaba tablets by the prime accused, Subir Sutradhar. However, this observation is made only for the limited purpose of deciding the present bail application and same shall have no impact on the framing of charge and also in the trial.

[10] There is also nothing placed before the Court to show that the present accused was earlier engaged in any such activity or that any case has ever been registered against him. Considering thus, it appears to this



Court that the rigor of Section 37 of the NDPS Act stands satisfied in the present case. The accused has been in custody for a considerable period. Taking note of the aforesaid facts, the bail prayer is allowed.

[11] Accordingly, it is ordered that the accused, Sanjoy Sutradhar, may go on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge, West Tripura, on conditions that:

- (i) he will not try to terrorize or influence any witness of the case;
- (ii) he will regularly attend the Court to face trial and he will not leave the State of Tripura without prior permission of the learned Special Judge, West Tripura;
- (iii) he will give his attendance before the learned Special Judge once in a fortnight till the condition is relaxed by the learned Special Judge;
- (iv) within seven days of his release on bail, he will furnish his cell phone number(s), if any, to the learned Special Judge as well as to the Officer-in-Charge, Amtali Police Station. He will not change the SIM card or hand over the same to any other person and shall keep the SIM operational until the trial is complete.

[12] Violation of any of the aforesaid conditions will be a good ground for cancellation of his bail.

[13] With such observation and decision, the bail application is disposed of.

Communicate a copy of this order to the learned Special Judge, West Tripura, forthwith.

Return the Case Diary to learned PP with a copy of this order.

Re-consign the learned Trial Court record.

JUDGE