

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 54/2026

Mahaveer Prasad Pareek S/o Sh. Gopinath Pareek,

-----Petitioner

Versus

Ravi Shankar Singhal S/o Sh. Suresh Chand Singhal

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Nikhil Saini

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

30/01/2026

While addressing the question of maintainability of contempt petition, which has been filed alleging contempt of judgment dated 25.07.2024 passed by the Appellate Tribunal, Jaipur Development Authority, Jaipur while deciding the reference petition under Section 83(8) of the JDA Act, 1982 (hereinafter to be referred as 'JDA Act'), it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that since, there is no specific power under the JDA Act for initiating contempt proceedings for disobedience of its own order, therefore, while invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Court Act, 1971, the petitioner can directly approach this Court by way of filing the contempt petition.

Learned counsel also relies upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **E.Bapanaiah Vs. K.S. Raju, 2015 (1) SCC 451.**

In similar matter, i.e. S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 127/2025 (Jyoti Bhadana Vs. Shri Shaitan Singh Yadav & Ors.)

wherein, the question arose as to whether for non-compliance of order passed by the Revenue Court, the Contempt Petition can be entertained by this Court or not, this Court has already sought assistance of learned Advocate General.

List this matter along with S.B. Contempt Petition No.127/2025

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to serve a copy of this contempt petition in the office of learned Advocate General along with copy of this order. Assistance of learned Advocate General is being sought only on the question of maintainability.

Let the name of Mr. Sheetanshu Sharma associate of learned Advocate General be shown in the cause list.

(ANAND SHARMA),J