

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16840/2025

Samsudin Alias Samsu S/o Late Imrat, R/o Rasulpur, Police Station Kaithwada, District Deeg. (At Present Accused is Confined In District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16841/2025

- 1 Saroop @ Shahrukh S/o Hakam, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Aldhani Police Station Sikari District Deeg. (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
- 2 Insar @ Innu S/o Hakam, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Aldhani Police Station Sikari District Deeg. (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
- 3 Juber S/o Aasin, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Bas Burja Police Station Sikari District Deeg. (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
- 4 Jilsaad @ Jillo S/o Mehmood, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Baisdawat Police Station Govindgarh District Alwar. (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16842/2025

Munfed S/o Aas Mohammad @ Lala, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Gulmani Police Station Sikari Deeg. (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16843/2025

Sahil S/o Ramjan @ Pappu, Aged About 18 Years, R/o Raniyala Police Station Sikri, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In District Jail Deeg)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Arafat Hussain Mr. Anoop Kumar Mr. Vafik Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA
Order

25/02/2026

1. The present bail applications have been filed by the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No.418/2025 registered at Police Station Sikari, District Deeg, for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(2), 317(4), 317(5), 303(2), 313 and 61(2) of BNS and under Section(s) 66D and 67 of IT Act.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that except one co-accused namely, Samsudin Alias Samsu having only one pending case against him, none of the petitioners has criminal antecedents. He also submits that the complaints registered on National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal (N.C.R.P.) against the petitioners are false in nature and involves a meagre amount.

3. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioners are in custody since 27.11.2025, no recovery is required to be made from them; the charge-sheet has been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners into custody, therefore, they may be enlarged on bail.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and submits that petitioners are involved in serious offences involving cyber fraud activities.

5. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, the fact that charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, thus, without commenting anything on the merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

6. Therefore, the instant bail applications are **allowed** and the accused-petitioners, namely (1) **Samsudin Alias Samsu S/o Late Imrat** (2) **Saroop @ Shahrukh S/o Hakam** (3) **Insar @ Innu S/o Hakam** (4) **Juber S/o Aasin** (5) **Jilsaad @ Jillo S/o Mehmood** (6) **Munfed S/o Aas Mohammad @ Lala** and (7) **Sahil S/o Ramjan @ Pappu**, are ordered to be released on bail, provided, each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the following conditions:-

- (i) The accused-petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.

(ii) The accused-petitioners shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.

(iii) The accused-petitioners shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial Court or as and when they are asked to appear before the Trial Court.

7. The accused-petitioners are directed to mark their presence on 12th day of every month till conclusion of trial before the concerned Police Station. The SHO of the concerned Police Station shall send the presence report of the accused-petitioners to the trial Court on the same day of every month.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move an application against the petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J

AVINASH GULERIA /18-21