

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20163/2025
CNR: RJHC021115722025 | URN: CW / 44912U / 2025

Narendra Ajmera S/o Late Abhay Kumar Ajmera, Aged About 55 Years, Presently Chief Engineer Under Suspension Department Of Local Bodies Government Of Rajasthan R/o C-232 Hari Marg Malviya Nagar Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Local Self Government (Lsg), Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director And Special Secretary, Directorate Of Local Bodies, Rajasthan, G-3, Rajmahal Residency Area, C-Scheme Near Civil Line Phatak, Jaipur-302016.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. P.C. Jain with Ms. Vinny Jain, Mr. Shaitan Choudhary and Mr. Roshan Vishvakarma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manoj Choudhary with Mr. Yadvendra Patel for Mr. G.S. Gill, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

18/08/2026

- 1.** The present writ petition has been filed with the following prayers:

"In view of the facts and circumstances stated hereinabove, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:
1. Quash and set aside the impugned suspension order dated 24.10.2025 passed against the petitioner (Annexure-1), as being punitive in effect, devoid of legal foundation, and unsustainable in law;
2. Direct that no adverse inference, responsibility,

or attribution shall be drawn or continued against the petitioner on the basis of the impugned enquiry report or suspension order in relation to the Seven Wonders Park Project, which stood completed and handed over prior to the petitioner's joining;
3. Direct the respondents to forthwith revoke the suspension of the petitioner and to restore him to service with all consequential benefits, including continuity of service, pay, and allowances;
4. Direct expunction of all adverse remarks, references, or findings recorded against the petitioner in the impugned enquiry report and in any departmental record founded thereon;
5. Pass such other or further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice."

2. On 11.08.2026, following order was passed by this Court:

"1. The matter comes up on an application No.03/2026 filed by the applicant-petitioner for taking on record the order dated 13.02.2026 passed by the appellate authority.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the aforesaid application, the same is disposed of and the order dated 13.02.2026 is taken on record.

3. The present writ petition has been filed being aggrieved by the order dated 24.10.2025 (Annexure-1), vide which the petitioner has been placed under suspension.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the appellate authority vide its order dated 13.02.2026 (Annexure-17) has arrived at a specific finding that the petitioner had no role with respect to construction of the Seven Wonders Park Project at Ajmer, as he was neither involved in the earmarking of the land on which the Seven Wonders Park Project was constructed nor in its construction and thus, it is unjustifiable to keep the petitioner under suspension. The appellate authority has also recorded in its order dated 13.02.2026, that it would be proper to withdraw the suspension order and reinstate the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the said order which was passed way back on 13.02.2026, Mr. Manoj Choudhary, learned counsel for respondents, is directed to place on record the status of the

proceedings and that of the suspension of the petitioner, latest, by 13.08.2026.

6. *Learned counsel for respondents is further directed to place on record the specific order(s) passed, if any, in pursuance of the order of the appellate authority dated 13.02.2026.*

7. *List the matter on 13.08.2026."*

3. Thereafter, on 13.08.2026, after hearing the learned counsel for both the parties, following order was passed:

"Mr. G.S. Gill, learned Additional Advocate General, assisted by Mr. Manoj Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate, submits that though admittedly the note sheet dated 13.02.2026 has been prepared by Secretary to Government (Local Self Government), Government of Rajasthan, a perusal of the same reveals that the same has not been prepared in the capacity of an Appellate Authority.

Learned AAG however could not dispute the fact that the appeal against the suspension order dated 24.10.2025 has been filed by the petitioner before the very same Authority i.e., Secretary to Government (Local Self Government), Government of Rajasthan.

Learned AAG further submits that subsequent to the noting made by Secretary to Government (Local Self Government), Government of Rajasthan, a Committee has been constituted by the concerned State Minister (Independent Charge) DLB.

Taking note of the same, Mr. G.S. Gill, learned AAG is directed to positively place on record the status of the appeal filed by the petitioner before the Secretary to Government (Local Self Government), Government of Rajasthan under Rule 22 of the Rajasthan Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1958 against the suspension order dated 24.10.2025, before this Court on the next date.

*List the matter on **18.08.2026.**"*

4. Today, Mr. Manoj Choudhary, Advocate, appearing on behalf of Mr. G.S. Gill, learned AAG for respondents, submits that as per the record, appeal filed by the petitioner against the impugned

suspension order dated 24.10.2025 (Annexure-1) is still pending, however, he could not dispute the fact that the same very appellate authority, i.e., the Secretary to Government (LSG), Government of Rajasthan, vide note sheet dated 13.02.2026 has recorded a specific finding that the petitioner had no role in the construction of the Seven Wonders Park at Ajmer as he was neither involved in the earmarking of the land on which the said Seven Wonders Park has been constructed neither in its construction and thus, it is unjustifiable to keep the petitioner under suspension.

5. Taking note of the above facts and the repeated opportunities granted to the respondents, in response to which no concrete information has been placed on record, this Court is not inclined to grant any further time to respondents.

6. In the obtained facts and circumstances, it is apposite to reproduce the findings recorded by the appellate authority in the note sheet dated 13.02.2026:

"मुख्य अभियंता नरेंद्र अजमेरा उपस्थित। सुना गया। निलंबन आदेश के विरुद्ध उनके द्वारा लिखित एवं मौखिक रूप से प्रतिवेदन दिया गया है। P-20/n का सम्पूर्ण अवलोकन किया गया। जिस अवधि में सेवन वंडर्स का निर्माण हुआ तथा जिस स्थान पर सेवन वंडर्स का निर्माण हुआ, उस में प्रार्थी का कोई भी रोल नहीं है। वस्तुस्थिति तो यह है की प्रार्थी को अजमेर स्मार्ट सिटी का चार्ज सेवन वंडर्स के पूरा बनने के बाद दिया गया था। सेवन वंडर्स का निर्माण 21-2-21 से 25 9-22 के मध्य हुआ था जब की प्रार्थी द्वारा ASCL 1-3-23 को जॉइन किया गया। *Revised Technical Sanction* एवं *Price escalation approval* भी प्रार्थी की जॉइनिंग से पहले हो गए थे। सेवन वंडर्स के निर्माण के विरुद्ध जो फैसला *NGT Court* द्वारा दिया गया था उस का मूल आधार जिस ज़मीन पर ये निर्माण हुआ उसको

लेकर था। *NGT* कोर्ट का ये मानना था की वो ज़मीन *wet land* है। इसके निर्माण के लिए स्थल के चुनाव में प्रार्थी का कोई रोल नहीं था। अतः मेरा ये मत है की इस मामले में प्रार्थी को निलंबित करना न्यायोचित नहीं है। निलंबन आदेश वापस लेकर श्री नरेंद्र अजमेरा को पुनः पदस्थापित करना उचित होगा। अनुमोदनार्थ प्रस्तुत है।”

7. A perusal of the impugned suspension order dated 24.10.2025 reveals that the same has been passed in respect of the illegal construction of the Seven Wonders Park by Ajmer Smart City Limited.

8. Once, a specific finding has been recorded by the appellate authority that the petitioner had no role in respect of the said project, there is no justification whatsoever, to not to quash the impugned order dated 24.10.2025.

9. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 24.10.2025 (Annexure-1) vide which the petitioner was placed under suspension is quashed and set aside and the present writ petition is allowed to the said extent.

10. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J