

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16774/2025

Raju @ Rajeev Bairwa S/o Ramchandra Bairwa, R/o Intka, Police Thana Sikandra District Dausa, Rajasthan. (Presently In Judicial Custody In Jail At Jaipur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. G.L. Sharma, Mr. Shankar Lal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rhishi Raj Singh Rathore, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

27/04/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-petitioner. The accused-petitioner has been arrested in connection with FIR No. 36/2019 registered at Police Station Special Police Station- SOG, District ATS & SOG for the offences under Sections 419, 420, 406, 468 and 120-B of IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the offences alleged against the accused-petitioner are exclusively triable by Magistrate. The accused-petitioner is in custody since 18.11.2025 and the trial of the case may take considerable time, the charge-sheet has already been submitted, therefore, the bail application of the accused-petitioner may be allowed.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and contended that the petitioner forged the appointment letter and also assured the complainant to grant employment and received huge amount for the complainant. Similar nature of cases were also registered against the petitioner, therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, the bail application of the accused-petitioner may be dismissed.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the fact that the offences alleged against the accused-petitioner are exclusively triable by Magistrate, the accused-petitioner is in custody since 18.11.2025 and the trial of the case may take considerable time, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioner **Raju @ Rajeev Bairwa S/o Ramchandra Bairwa**, in connection with FIR No. 36/2019 registered at Police Station Special Police Station- SOG, District ATS & SOG, shall be enlarged on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so. The learned trial Judge shall also verify the address and the contact details of the surety through concerned SHO before releasing the accused-petitioner on bail.

7. The accused-petitioner is also directed to mark his presence on 25th of every month till conclusion of trial before the concerned

police station. The SHO of the concerned police station is directed to maintain a regular register marking the presence of the accused-petitioner and shall send the presence report of the accused-petitioner on the same day to the concerned Trial Court without any delay. In case of any breach to the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The accused-petitioner is also directed to submit his present address along with the mobile number to the concerned SHO within a period of 7 days from his release and the concerned SHO shall verify the said address and the mobile number. In case if the petitioner changes his address or mobile number, he shall submit the same before the concerned SHO so also before the concerned learned Trial Court.

9. A copy of this order shall be sent to the concerned SHO for its strict compliance.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J