

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16624/2025

Mamraj S/o Gajanand, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Cheepo Ka Mohalla, Manoharpur, Jaipur, Rajasthan. (At Present Confined In Sear Jail, Bharatpur, Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Saurabh Aswal

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA
Order

27/02/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No.7/2025 registered at Police Station Cyber Police Station (Bharatpur), District Bharatpur for the offences under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 308(2) of BNS and 66-C & 66-D of IT Act.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that his bank account was used by one Radheshyam Gurjar and as such the petitioner himself is a victim of manipulation by the said person, therefore, an FIR bearing No.226/2025 was lodged against that person. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner has not received the amount involved in the case.

3. Learned counsel further contends that the alleged offence(s) are triable by Magistrate; the petitioner has no other criminal antecedent, he is in custody since 29.11.2025 and no recovery is

required to be made from him. He also submits that charge-sheet has been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody and therefore, prays that the petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

4. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner hereinabove.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, as also the facts that the offence is triable by Magistrate, absence of criminal antecedent against the petitioner, charge-sheet has already been filed and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, without commenting anything on merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

6. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 BNSS is **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Mamraj S/o Gajanand** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J